



No. 2008CR2684A COUNTY
INCIDENT No./TRN: 9103132307

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE 226TH DISTRICT
VS. § COURT
RUSSELL BOYD KNOWLES § BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
STATE ID No.: TX6428769 §

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION BY JURY

Judge Presiding: HON. SID HARLE Date Judgment Entered: 02-10-2009
Appearances: Attorney for State: WILLIAM HENRY PENNINGTON III Attorney for Defendant: JEFFREY JAMES SCOTT

Offense for which Defendant Convicted:
CAPITAL MURDER - OTHER FELONY

Charging Instrument: INDICTMENT Statute for Offense: 19.03 (A) (2) PC

Date of Offense: 01-08-2008 Plea to Offense: NOT GUILTY Findings on Deadly Weapon: DEADLY WEAPON FINDING

Degree of Offense: CAPITAL FELONY Foreperson: MICHAEL HIGGINS

Verdict of Jury: Verdict of Jury: (PUNISHMENT)

WE, THE JURY, FIND THE DEFENDANT, RUSSELL KNOWLES, GUILTY OF CAPITAL MURDER AS CHARGED IN THE INDICTMENT.
ISSUE NO. 1: DO YOU FIND FROM THE EVID BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT THERE IS A PROBABILITY THAT THE DEFT, RUSSELL KNOWLES, WOULD COMMIT CRIMINAL ACTS OF VIOLENCE THAT WOULD CONSTITUTE A CONTINUING THREAT TO SOCIETY? ANSWER: WE, THE JURY, UNANIMOUSLY FIND & DETERMINE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT THE ANSWER TO ISSUE NO. 1 IS "YES." IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED ISSUE NO. 1 "YES," THE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING ISSUE NO. 2. TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION ALL THE EVID, INCLUDING THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENSE, THE DEFT'S CHARACTER & BACKGROUND, & THE PERSONAL MORAL CULPABILITY OF THE DEFT, IS THERE A SUFFICIENT MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCE OR CIRCUMSTANCES TO WARRANT THAT A SENTENCE OF LIFE (cont)

Plea to 1st Enhancement Paragraph: N/A Plea to 2nd Enhancement/Habitual Paragraph:
Findings on 1st Enhancement Paragraph: N/A Findings on 2nd Enhancement/Habitual Paragraph:

Punished Assessed by: JURY Date Sentence Imposed: 02-10-2009 Date Sentence to Commence: 02-10-2009

Punishment and Place of Confinement: LIFE TDCJ-ID AND A FINE OF \$ 0.00 IMPRISONMENT (INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION):

THIS SENTENCE SHALL RUN CONCURRENTLY UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

SENTENCE OF CONFINEMENT SUSPENDED, DEFENDANT PLACED ON COMMUNITY SUPERVISION FOR N/A

Fine: \$ 0.00 Court Costs: \$ 330.00PLUS ATTY FEES Restitution: \$ 0.00 Restitution Payable to: VICTIM (see below) AGENCY/AGENT (see below)

Sex Offender Registration Requirements do not apply to the Defendant. TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. chapter 62.
The age of the victim at the time of the offense was

If Defendant is to serve sentence in TDCJ, enter incarceration periods in chronological order.
Time Credited: From: 01/09/2008 To: 02/10/2009 From: To: From: To: From: To: From: To:
If Defendant is to serve sentence in county jail or is given credit toward fine and costs, enter days credited below.



NOTES: N/A

All pertinent information, names and assessments indicated above are incorporated into the language of the judgment below by reference.

This cause was called for trial in Bexar County, Texas. The State appeared by her District Attorney.

Counsel / Waiver of Counsel (select one)

- Defendant appeared in person with Counsel.
- Defendant knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived the right to representation by counsel in writing in open court.

It appeared to the Court that Defendant was mentally competent and had pleaded as shown above to the charging instrument. Both parties announced ready for trial. A jury was selected, impaneled, and sworn. The INDICTMENT was read to the jury, and Defendant entered a plea to the charged offense. The Court received the plea and entered it of record.

The jury heard the evidence submitted and argument of counsel. The Court charged the jury as to its duty to determine the guilt or innocence of Defendant, and the jury retired to consider the evidence. Upon returning to open court, the jury delivered its verdict in the presence of Defendant and defense counsel, if any.

The Court received the verdict and ORDERED it entered upon the minutes of the Court.

Punishment Assessed by Jury / Court / No election (select one)

- Jury. Defendant entered a plea and filed a written election to have the jury assess punishment. The jury heard evidence relative to the question of punishment. The Court charged the jury and it retired to consider the question of punishment. After due deliberation, the jury was brought into Court, and, in open court, it returned its verdict as indicated above.
- Court. Defendant elected to have the Court assess punishment. After hearing evidence relative to the question of punishment, the Court assessed Defendant's punishment as indicated above.

The Court FINDS Defendant committed the above offense and ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES that Defendant is GUILTY of the above offense. The Court FINDS the Presentence Investigation, if so ordered, was done according to the applicable provisions of TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. art. 42.12 § 9.

The Court ORDERS Defendant punished as indicated above. The Court ORDERS Defendant to pay all fines, court costs, and restitution as indicated above.

Punishment Options (select one)

- Confinement in State Jail or Institutional Division. The Court ORDERS the authorized agent of the State of Texas or the Sheriff of this County to take, safely convey, and deliver Defendant to the Director, Institutional Division, TDCJ. The Court ORDERS Defendant to be confined for the period and in the manner indicated above. The Court ORDERS Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of this county until the Sheriff can obey the directions of this sentence. The Court ORDERS that upon release from confinement, Defendant proceed immediately to the Bexar County District Clerk. Once there, the Court ORDERS Defendant to pay, or make arrangements to pay, any remaining unpaid fines, court costs, and restitution as ordered by the Court above.

Execution / Suspension of Sentence (select one)

- The Court ORDERS Defendant's sentence EXECUTED.
- The Court ORDERS Defendant's sentence of confinement SUSPENDED. The Court ORDERS Defendant placed on community supervision for the adjudged period (above) so long as Defendant abides by and does not violate the terms and conditions of community supervision. The order setting forth the terms and conditions of community supervision is incorporated into this judgment by reference.

The Court ORDERS that Defendant is given credit noted above on this sentence for the time spent incarcerated.

Furthermore, the following special findings or orders apply:

IMPRISONMENT RATHER THAN DEATH SENTENCE BE IMPOSED? ANSWER: WE, THE JURY, BECAUSE AT LEAST TEN (10) JURORS TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION ALL THE EVID. INCLUDING THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENSE,

Signed and entered on this _____ day of FEB 18 2009

Notice of Appeal: ADVISED

THE DEFT'S CHARACTER & BACKGROUND, & THE PERSONAL MORAL CULPABILITY OF THE DEFT, FIND & DETERMINE THAT THERE IS A SUFFICIENT MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCE OR CIRCUMSTANCES TO WARRANT THAT A SENTENCE OF LIFE IMPRISONMENT

JUDGE PRESIDING
SID HARLE
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

Clerk 13135 RATHER THAT A DEATH SENTENCE



DC2008CR2684A

BE IMPOSED, ANSWER ISSUE NO. 2 "YES."
WE, THE JURY, RETURN IN OPEN COURT THE ABOVE ANSWERS AS OUR ANSWERS TO THE ISSUES SUBMITTED TO US, & THE SAME IS OUR VERDICT IN THIS CASE.

