



IV.


By letters dated February 16, 1989, April 5, 1989, and May 9, 1989, the Grievance Committee for State Bar District 4B urged Bugge to provide information in response to a complaint presented against him by Carlson which complaint was brought to the attention of the General Counsel of the State Bar of Texas by the filing of the complaint with the State Bar of Texas on or about February 3, 1989. Bugge neglected, failed and/or refused to timely furnish the information requested by said committee in said letters in connection with its investigation and processing of Carlson's complaint, or to assert the grounds for failure to do so, thereby engaging in three (3) separate acts of professional misconduct in violation of Article X, Section 7(4), of the State Bar Rules.


V.


On or about January 26, 1987, William E. Lowe (hereinafter called "Lowe") and Deborah K. Lowe (the said William E. Lowe and Deborah K. Lowe hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Lowes") retained Bugge to represent them in connection with their efforts to avoid foreclosure of property situated at [REDACTED] (hereinafter called "Lowe property"), including but not limited to seeking damages under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices - Consumer Protection Act. Lowe paid Bugge a retainer in the amount of Five Hundred and no/100 (\$500.00) Dollars against which attorney's fees would be applied as accrued. Subsequently, Bugge took no significant action toward protecting the Lowes' rights and interests with respect to the Lowe property. The Lowe property was posted for foreclosure and sold at public auction on or about October 6, 1987. As a result of such foreclosure, the Lowes' ability to obtain credit was severely impaired. Lowe sought Bugge's






















United States District Court

EASTERN District of CALIFORNIA

FILED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JUDGMENT INCLUDING SENTENCE
UNDER THE SENTENCING REFORM ACT OF '90

ROBERT JOHN BUGGE,

Case Number Cr. S-88-103 RAR

(Name of Defendant)

Michael DeGeurin, Esq.

Defendant's Attorney

THE DEFENDANT:

- pleaded guilty to count(s) _____ as charged in the Superseding Information.
- was found guilty on count(s) _____ after a plea of not guilty.

Accordingly, the defendant is adjudged guilty of such count(s), which involve the following offenses:

Title & Section	Nature of Offense	Count Number(s)
18 USC 1014	False Statement to a Bank	I

The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 3 of this Judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.

- The defendant has been found not guilty on count(s) _____ and is discharged as to such count(s).
- Count(s) the indictment (is)(are) dismissed on the motion of the United States.
- The mandatory special assessment is included in the portion of this Judgment that imposes a fine.
- It is ordered that the defendant shall pay to the United States a special assessment of \$ _____, which shall be due immediately.

It is further ordered that the defendant shall notify the United States Attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of residence or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this Judgment are fully paid.

Defendant's Soc. Sec. Number:

Defendant's mailing address:

Defendant's residence address:

March 22, 1990

Date of Imposition of Sentence

A. Andrew Hauk
Signature of Judicial Officer

A. ANDREW HAUK, U.S. District Court Judge

Name & Title of Judicial Officer

March 23, 1990

Date

I hereby certify that the annexed instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

ATTEST: JESSE CASAUS

Clerk, U. S. District Court
Eastern District of California

By *Jesse Casaus*
Deputy Clerk

Dated MAR 26 1990

3 Certified Copies of this Judgment to the U.S. Marshal:

EXHIBIT A

PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES

