ORDER OF THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

Misc Docket No. 98- 9095

Appointment of a District Judge to Preside in a State Bar Disciplinary Action

The Supreme Court of Texas hereby appoints the Honorable Mark Nancarrow, Judge of the 204th District Court of Dallas County, Texas, to preside in the Disciplinary Action styled:

The Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Choice Richardson

to be filed in a District Court of Bexar County, Texas.

The Clerk of the Supreme Court shall promptly forward to the District Clerk of Bexar County, Texas, a copy of this Order and of the Disciplinary Petition for filing and service pursuant to Rule 3.03, Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure.

As ordered by the Supreme Court of Texas, in chambers,

with the Seal thereof affixed at the City of Austin, this 17th day of June, 1998.

JOHN T. ADAMS, CLERK SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS This assignment, made by Misc. Docket No. 98-9095, is also an assignment by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court pursuant to Texas Government Code §74.057.

Signed this 19 day of June, 1998.

Thomas R. Phillips

Chief Justice

COMMISSION FOR LAWYER	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
DISCIPLINE	§	
v.	§	
	§	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
CHOICE RICHARDSON	8	th IIIDICIAL DISTRICT

PETITIONER'S ORIGINAL DISCIPLINARY PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Comes now, Petitioner, COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE, a committee of the State Bar of Texas, complaining of Respondent, CHOICE RICHARDSON, and in support thereof would respectfully show the Court the following:

Parties

Petitioner is the COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE, a committee of the State Bar of Texas. Respondent, CHOICE RICHARDSON, State Bar No. 16860400, at all times relevant to this cause of action, is an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Texas and is a member of the State Bar of Texas. Respondent is a resident of San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas and may be served with process by certified mail, return receipt requested at P.O. Box 781554, San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas 78278 or in person at 40 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 405, San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas 78216, his usual place of employment.

Venue

Respondent maintains his principal place of practice in Bexar County, Texas. The alleged acts of professional misconduct occurred in whole or in part in Bexar County, Texas. Accordingly, pursuant to Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure 3.03, venue is proper in Bexar County, Texas.

Professional Misconduct

I.

Petitioner brings this disciplinary action pursuant to State Bar Act, Tex. Gov't. Code Ann.,

Sec. 81.001, et seq. (Vernon 1988), the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct and

the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. The complaints which form the basis of this action

were filed on or about September 5, 1997 by Kenneth Roy Caldwell and on October 16, 1997 by

Dawn Y. Walker. The acts and conduct of Respondent, as hereinafter alleged, constitute

professional misconduct.

Count I -- Kenneth Roy Caldwell

Π.

At the time of the following alleged acts of professional misconduct occurred, Respondent

was an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Texas and was a member of the State Bar

of Texas.

III.

On or about February 21, 1995, Kenneth Caldwell hired Respondent for representation in

a claim for personal injury damages as a result of a motor vehicle accident. At the time Mr.

Caldwell hired Respondent's law firm, Respondent was allowing Antonio Lampazianie to run his

law practice with little or no supervision. Respondent failed to make reasonable efforts to ensure

Mr. Lampazianie's conduct was compatible with Respondent's professional obligation to his

clients. Respondent permitted Mr. Lampazianie to neglect the handling of Mr. Caldwell's case.

Respondent assisted Mr. Lampazianie to perform the unauthorized practice of law.

IV.

Respondent failed to perform any substantive legal services on behalf of Mr. Caldwell and failed to determine the amount of available insurance policy limits. Respondent also failed to determine the extent of Mr. Caldwell's injuries and damages.

V.

Respondent failed to communicate to Mr. Caldwell the status of his case by failing to communicate to Mr. Caldwell the amount of insurance policy limits available for his claim. Additionally, Respondent failed to correct Mr. Caldwell's misconception that Mr. Lampazianie was an attorney. At all times relevant to Mr. Caldwell's representation, Mr. Caldwell believed that Mr. Lampazianie was an attorney and that he was working under the supervision of Respondent.

VI.

Mr. Lampazianie settled Mr. Caldwell's claim for an amount insufficient to fairly compensation Mr. Caldwell for his injuries. Respondent collected \$11,250.00 in legal fees from Mr. Caldwell's case. Respondent performed no legal services for Mr. Caldwell. Accordingly, Restitution of the \$11,250.00 legal fees is due and owing to Mr. Caldwell.

VII.

Respondent shared legal fees with Mr. Lampazianie, a non lawyer. Additionally, Respondent formed a partnership with Mr. Lampazianie in a business venture for the purposes of practicing law. Mr. Lampazianie owned an interest in the partnership and controlled and directed the professional judgment of Respondent. Respondent assisted Mr. Lampazianie to violate the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct. In creating a sham law practice

with Mr. Lampazianie, Respondent engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit and misrepresentation.

Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct

VIII.

The conduct of Respondent described above, in relation to the legal representation of Kenneth Caldwell, was in violation of the following Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct:

Rule 1.01(b)(1) -- In representing a client, a lawyer shall not neglect a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer.

Rule 1.01(b)(2) -- In representing a client, a lawyer shall not frequently fail to carry out completely the obligations that the lawyer owes to a client or clients.

Rule 1.02(a)(1) -- A lawyer shall abide by a client's decisions concerning the objectives and general methods of representation.

Rule 1.03(b) -- A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonable necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation.

Rule 5.03(a) -- With respect to a non-lawyer employed or retained by or associated with a lawyer, a lawyer having direct supervisory authority over the non-lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the person's conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer.

Rule 5.03(b)(1) -- With respect to a non-lawyer employed or retained by or associated with a lawyer, a lawyer shall be subject to discipline for the conduct of such a person that would be a violation of these rules if engaged in by a lawyer if the lawyer orders, encourages, or permits the conduct involved.

Rule 5.04(a) -- A lawyer or law firm shall not share or promise to share legal fees with a non-lawyer.

Rule 5.04(b) -- A lawyer shall not form a partnership with a non-lawyer if any of the activities of the partnership consist of the practice of law.

Rule 5.04(c) -- A lawyer shall not permit a person who recommends, employs, or pays the

lawyer to render legal services for another to direct or regulate the lawyer's professional judgment in rendering such legal services.

Rule 5.04(d)(1)(2)&(3) -- A lawyer shall not practice with or in the form of a professional corporation or association authorized to practice law for a profit, if a non-lawyer owns any interest therein, a non-lawyer is a corporate director officer thereof, or a non-lawyer has the right to direct or control the professional judgment of a lawyer.

Rule 5.05(b) -- A lawyer shall not assist a person who is not a member of the bar in the performance of activity that constitutes the unauthorized practice of law.

Rule 8.04(a)(1) -- A lawyer shall not violate these rules, knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of another, whether or not such violation occurred in the course of a client-lawyer relationship.

Rule 8.04(a)(3) -- A lawyer shall not engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation.

Count II -- Dawn Y. Walker

IX.

At the time of the following alleged acts of professional misconduct occurred, Respondent was an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Texas and was a member of the State Bar of Texas.

X.

On July 22, 1992, Dawn Walker hired Respondent's law firm for representation in a claim for personal injury damages as a result of injuries sustained in a January 22, 1992 motor vehicle accident. Ms. Walker was a passenger in a vehicle driven by Micol Walker. At the time Ms. Walker hired Respondent's law firm, Respondent was allowing Antonio Lampazianie to run his law practice with little or no supervision. Respondent failed to make reasonable efforts to ensure Mr. Lampazianie's conduct was compatible with Respondent's professional obligation to his clients. Respondent permitted Mr. Lampazianie to neglect the handling of Ms. Walker's case.

Respondent assisted Mr. Lampazianie to perform the unauthorized practice of law.

XI.

Upon the settlement of Ms. Walker's claim, Respondent failed to supervise Mr. Lampazianie in the distribution of the settlement funds. From the settlement funds, Respondent withheld \$3,303.49 for payment to health care providers. Respondent failed to promptly distribute trust funds to a health care provider, Neurological Institute, from the settlement proceeds and failed to refund these funds to Complainant. Restitution is due and owing Ms. Walker in the amount of \$3,303.49.

XII.

Respondent failed to make reasonable efforts to ensure that Mr. Lampanzianie's conduct was compatible with Respondent's professional obligations by permitting Mr. Lampanzianie to neglect the proper processing of Ms. Walker's settlement proceeds. Respondent failed to supervise Mr. Lampanzianie in the distribution of funds held in trust.

XIII.

Respondent shared legal fees with Mr. Lampazianie, a non lawyer. Additionally, Respondent formed a partnership with Mr. Lampazianie in a business venture for the purposes of practicing law. Mr. Lampazianie owned an interest in the partnership and controlled and directed the professional judgment of Respondent. Respondent assisted Mr. Lampazianie to violate the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct. In creating a sham law practice with Mr. Lampazianie, Respondent engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit and misrepresentation.

Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct

XIV.

The conduct of Respondent described above, in relation to the legal representation of Dawn Walker, was in violation of the following Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct:

Rule 1.01(b)(1) -- In representing a client, a lawyer shall not neglect a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer.

Rule 1.01(b)(2) -- In representing a client, a lawyer shall not frequently fail to carry out completely the obligations that the lawyer owes to a client or clients.

Rule 1.02(a)(1) -- A lawyer shall abide by a client's decisions concerning the objectives and general methods of representation.

Rule 1.03(b) -- A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonable necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation.

Rule 1.14(a) -- A lawyer shall hold funds and other property belonging in whole or in part to clients or third persons that are in a lawyer's possession in connection with a representation separate from the lawyer's own property.

Rule 1.15(b) -- Upon receiving funds or other property in which a client or third person has an interest, a lawyer shall promptly notify the client or third person. Except as stated in this rule or otherwise permitted by law or by agreement with the client, a lawyer shall promptly deliver to the client or third person any funds or other property that the client or third person is entitled to receive and, upon request by the client or third person, shall promptly render a full accounting regarding such property.

Rule 5.03(a) — With respect to a non-lawyer employed or retained by or associated with a lawyer, a lawyer having direct supervisory authority over the non-lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that the person's conduct is compatible with the professional obligations of the lawyer.

Rule 5.03(b)(1) -- With respect to a non-lawyer employed or retained by or associated with a lawyer, a lawyer shall be subject to discipline for the conduct of such a person that would be a violation of these rules if engaged in by a lawyer if the lawyer orders, encourages, or permits the conduct involved.

Rule 5.04(a) -- A lawyer or law firm shall not share or promise to share legal fees with a non-lawyer.

Rule 5.04(b) -- A lawyer shall not form a partnership with a non-lawyer if any of the activities of the partnership consist of the practice of law.

Rule 5.04(c) -- A lawyer shall not permit a person who recommends, employs, or pays the lawyer to render legal services for another to direct or regulate the lawyer's professional judgment in rendering such legal services.

Rule 5.04(d)(1)(2)&(3) -- A lawyer shall not practice with or in the form of a professional corporation or association authorized to practice law for a profit, if a non-lawyer owns any interest therein, a non-lawyer is a corporate director officer thereof, or a non-lawyer has the right to direct or control the professional judgment of a lawyer.

Rule 5.05(b) -- A lawyer shall not assist a person who is not a member of the bar in the performance of activity that constitutes the unauthorized practice of law.

Rule 8.04(a)(1) -- A lawyer shall not violate these rules, knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through the acts of another, whether or not such violation occurred in the course of a client-lawyer relationship.

Rule 8.04(a)(3) -- A lawyer shall not engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Petitioner prays that a judgment of professional misconduct be entered against Respondent imposing an appropriate sanction as the facts shall warrant and that Petitioner have such other relief to which it is entitled, including, but not limited to, costs of court, all direct expenses associated with these proceedings and reasonable attorney's fees as provided in Rule 1.06(T)(8)(b) of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven W. Young General Counsel

James Ehler Assistant General Counsel Office of the General Counsel State Bar of Texas 425 Soledad, Suite 300 San Antonio, Texas 78205

Telephone: (210) 271-7881 Telecopier: (210) 271-9642

James Ehler

State Bar No. 06484650

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER

STATE BAR OF TEXAS



CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
P 583 840 595

Office of the General Counsel Regional Office Soledad Plaza West 425 Soledad, Suite 300 San Antonio, Texas 78205 (210) 271-7881 FAX: (210) 271-9642

May 27, 1998

John T. Adams, Clerk Supreme Court of Texas P.O. Box 12248 Austin, Texas 78711

RE: Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Choice Richardson

Dear Mr. Adams:

Enclosed please find an original and three (3) copies of a Disciplinary Petition being filed by the Commission for Lawyer Discipline against Choice Richardson. Mr. Richardson has designated **Bexar County** as his principal place of practice. Request is hereby made that the Court appoint an active District Judge who does not reside in the Administrative Judicial Region in which Respondent resides to preside in this case. Upon appointment, request is made that you notify the Respondent at the address shown below and the undersigned of the identity and address of the judge assigned:

Choice Richardson 40 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 405 San Antonio, Texas 78216

As a practical matter, I would respectfully suggest that you inquire with the judge to be appointed as to whether he or she can accommodate compliance with Mellon Service Co., et al v. Touche Ross Co., 946 S.W.2d 862 (Tex.App.- Houston [14th Dist.] 1997), which requires that all proceedings incident to a case occur in the county of proper venue. If not, I would respectfully request that an alternate appointment be made.

Once a trial judge has been appointed, please forward the original and three (3) copies of the Disciplinary Petition, the filing fee check, also enclosed herewith, and the Court's appointing

order to the District Clerk of Bexar County, Texas, with the request that the suit be filed, service be obtained, and a filemarked copy of the petition returned to the undersigned.

Also enclosed are a pre-addressed envelope for your use in transmitting the petition, etc., to the District Clerk of Bexar County, Texas and a return envelope to be sent to the District Clerk of Bexar County, Texas, for the Clerk's use in returning a filemarked copy of the petition to the undersigned.

Thank you for your courtesies in this matter.

Sincerely,

James Ehler

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures

JAE/cah



THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

CHIEF JUSTICE

POST OFFICE BOX 12248

AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

CLERK JOHN T. ADAMS

THOMAS R. PHILLIPS

TEL: (512) 463-1312

EXECUTIVE ASS'T WILLIAM L. WILLIS

JUSTICES RAUL A. GONZALEZ NATHAN L. HECHT CRAIG T. ENOCH ROSE SPECTOR PRISCILLA R. OWEN JAMES A. BAKER GREG ABBOTT DEBORAH G. HANKINSON

FAX: (512) 463-1365

ADMINISTRATIVE ASS'T NADINE SCHNEIDER

JUN 3 0 1998

The Honorable Mary White District Clerk of Bexar County 100 Dolorosa Street San Antonio, Texas 78205-1205

Dear Ms. White:

Pursuant to Rule 3.03 of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, I am sending for filing State Bar of Texas Disciplinary Action styled: The Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Choice. Richardson, and a copy of the Supreme Court's order appointing the Honorable Mark Nancarrow, Judge of the 204th District Court of Dallas, Texas, to preside in this Disciplinary Action.

Sincerely,

SIGNED

John T. Adams Clerk

cc:

Hon. Mark Nancarrow

Mr. James Ehler

Ms. Choice Richardson



THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

CHIEF JUSTICE THOMAS R. PHILLIPS

JUSTICES
RAUL A. GONZALEZ
NATHAN L. HECHT
CRAIG T. ENOCH
ROSE SPECTOR
PRISCILLA R. OWEN
JAMES A. BAKER
GREG ABBOTT

DEBORAH G. HANKINSON

POST OFFICE BOX 12248 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

TEL: (512) 463-1312

FAX: (512) 463-1365

CLERK
JOHN T. ADAMS

EXECUTIVE ASS'T
WILLIAM L. WILLIS

ADMINISTRATIVE ASS'T NADINE SCHNEIDER

JUN 3 0 1998

Honorable Mark Nancarrow Judge, 204th District Court Frank Crowley Courts Building 133 N. Industrial Boulevard Dallas, Texas 75207

Dear Judge Nancarrow:

We enclose for your information a copy of the order of assignment, a copy of the Disciplinary Action, a copy of the notification letter to Ms. Richardson and Mr. Ehler, and a copy of the letter to the District Clerk of Bexar County.

It is recommended that, six to eight weeks after receipt of this letter, you or your coordinator contact the Bexar County Administrative Office (210-335-2300) to find out the district court to which this disciplinary case has been assigned, names and addresses of counsel, etc. We then recommend that, either before or immediately after you set the case for trial, you contact the Presiding Judge of the Administrative Judicial Region into which you have been assigned (210-769-3519) to reserve a courtroom, obtain a court reporter, obtain claims forms for your expenses incident to presiding over this disciplinary case.

Sincerely,

SIGNED

John T. Adams Clerk



THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

CHIEF JUSTICE
THOMAS R. PHILLIPS

JUSTICES
RAUL A. GONZALEZ
NATHAN L. HECHT
CRAIG T. ENOCH
ROSE SPECTOR
PRISCILLA R. OWEN
JAMES A. BAKER
GREG ABBOTT
DEBORAH G. HANKINSON

POST OFFICE BOX 12248 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

TEL: (512) 463-1312

FAX: (512) 463-1365

JUN 3 O 1998

CLERK
JOHN T. ADAMS

EXECUTIVE ASS'T WILLIAM L. WILLIS

ADMINISTRATIVE ASS'T NADINE SCHNEIDER

Mr. James Ehler Assistant General Counsel, State Bar of Texas 425 Soledad, Suite 300 San Antonio, Texas 78205

Ms. Choice Richardson 40 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 405 San Antonio, Texas 78216

Dear Mr. Ehler and Ms. Richardson:

Pursuant to Rule 3.02 of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, I hereby notify you that the Supreme Court of Texas has appointed the Honorable Mark Nancarrow, Judge of the 204th District Court, Dallas, Texas to preside in each of the three disciplinary actions styled:

Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Choice Richardson

Sincerely,

SIGNED

John T. Adams Clerk