

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes.

3. The third part of the document discusses the challenges and limitations of data collection and analysis. It notes that while data provides valuable insights, it is not always perfect and can be subject to errors and biases.

4. The fourth part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and conclusions. It reiterates the importance of data-driven decision-making and the need for ongoing monitoring and evaluation.

5. The final part of the document offers recommendations for future research and practice. It suggests that further exploration of advanced data analysis techniques and the integration of qualitative data could provide even greater insights into organizational performance.

CAUSE NO. _____

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE | § | IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF |
| | § | |
| V. | § | BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS |
| | § | |
| ARNULFO ORTIZ | § | _____ JUDICIAL DISTRICT |

PETITIONER'S ORIGINAL DISCIPLINARY PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Comes now, Petitioner, COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE, a committee of the State Bar of Texas, and files this its Original Disciplinary Petition complaining of Respondent, ARNULFO ORTIZ, and in support thereof would respectfully show the Court the following:

Parties

Petitioner is the COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE, a committee of the State Bar of Texas. Respondent, ARNULFO ORTIZ, Texas State Bar Number 15323090, is an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Texas and is a member of the State Bar of Texas. Respondent maintains an office in Bexar County, Texas. Respondent may be served with citation and a copy of this petition at [REDACTED].

Venue

Respondent's principal place of practice is in Bexar County, Texas. Accordingly, pursuant to Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure 3.03, venue is proper in Bexar County, Texas.

Discovery Control Plan

Petitioner intends to conduct discovery under Level 2 of Rule 190.3 of the Texas Rules of







STATE BAR OF TEXAS



**CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
Z 292 150 056**

Office of the General Counsel
Regional Office
Soledad Plaza West
425 Soledad, Suite 300
San Antonio, Texas 78205
(210) 271-7881
FAX: (210) 271-9642

August 24, 1999

John T. Adams, Clerk
Supreme Court of Texas
P.O. Box 12248
Austin, Texas 78711

RE: Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Arnulfo Ortiz

Dear Mr. Adams:

Enclosed please find an original and three (3) copies of a Disciplinary Petition being filed by the Commission for Lawyer Discipline against Arnulfo Ortiz. Mr. Ortiz has designated **Bexar County** as his principal place of practice. Request is hereby made that the Court appoint an active District Judge who does not reside in the Administrative Judicial Region in which Respondent resides to preside in this case. Upon appointment, request is made that you notify the Respondent at the address shown below and the undersigned of the identity and address of the judge assigned:

Arnulfo Ortiz
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

As a practical matter, I would respectfully suggest that you inquire with the judge to be appointed as to: (1) whether he or she will be able to comply with the 180 day deadline by which the case must be set for trial as set forth in Section 3.07 of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure; and (2) whether he or she can accommodate compliance with Mellon Service Co., et al v. Touche Ross Co., 946 S.W.2d 862 (Tex.App.- Houston [14th Dist.] 1997), which requires that all proceedings incident to a case occur in the county of proper venue. If not, I would respectfully request that an alternate appointment be made.





THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

CHIEF JUSTICE
THOMAS R. PHILLIPS

POST OFFICE BOX 12248 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

TEL: (512) 463-1312

FAX: (512) 463-1365

CLERK
JOHN T. ADAMS

EXECUTIVE ASS'T
WILLIAM L. WILLIS

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE ASS'T
JIM HUTCHESON

ADMINISTRATIVE ASS'T
NADINE SCHNEIDER

JUSTICES
NATHAN L. HECHT
CRAIG T. ENOCH
PRISCILLA R. OWEN
JAMES A. BAKER
GREG ABBOTT
DEBORAH G. HANKINSON
HARRIET O'NEILL
ALBERTO R. GONZALES

OCT 07 1999

Mr. Robert E. Kaszczuk
Assistant General Counsel, State Bar of Texas
425 Soledad, Suite 300
San Antonio, Texas 78205

Mr. Arnulfo Ortiz
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Mr. Kaszczuk and Mr. Ortiz:

Pursuant to Rule 3.02 of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, I hereby notify you that the Supreme Court of Texas has appointed the Honorable F.B. "Bob" McGregor, Jr., Judge of the 66th District Court, Hillsboro, Texas to preside in

Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Arnulfo Ortiz

Sincerely,

SIGNED

John T. Adams
Clerk



