## **Recent Texas Cases that have Ruled Court Costs Unconstitutional**

Case	Notes
Johnson v. State, 2018 WL 1476275 (Tex. App.— Houston [14 <sup>th</sup> Dist.]) 2018	Court found CCP Art. 102.004(a) (jury fee) facially unconstitutional in violation of separation-of-powers provision.  • "OCA has stated that, while the proceeds of the fee presumably are used for courts related to a jury, the statute does not explicitly limit the spending of the collected fees for the purpose of costs related to a jury."
Allen v. State, 2017 WL 5712602 (Tex. App.— Houston [1 <sup>st</sup> Dist.]) 2017	Court found CCP Art. 102.011(a)(3) and (b) (summoning fee & mileage fee for summoning witnesses) facially unconstitutional
Robison v. State, 2017 WL 4655107 (Tex. App.— Texarkana) 2017	Court found CCP Art. 102.0185(a) (EMS Trauma Fund) cost facially unconstitutional.
Hernandez v. State, 2017 WL 3429414 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.]) 2017	Court found CCP Art. 102.008(a) (prosecutor fee) facially unconstitutional.
Casas v. State, 524 S.W.3d 921 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth) 2017	Court found CCP Art. 102.0185(a) (EMS Trauma Fund) cost facially unconstitutional.
Salinas v. State, 523 S.W.3d 103 (Tex. Crim App.) 2017	Court found consolidated court cost to be facially unconstitutional (allocation to 2 funds)

