

**Affirmed and Opinion filed January 17, 2002.**



**In The**  
**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NO. 14-00-01296-CR**

---

**JOHN PAUL BURTON, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

---

**On Appeal from the 339th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 836,661**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Appellant entered a plea of guilty to the offense of aggravated robbery. On August 31, 2000, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for seven years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Appellant filed a pro se notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional

evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. On November 21, 2001, this Court granted appellant an extension of time to file his pro se response to January 7, 2001. When we granted this extension, we noted that no further extensions would be granted absent exceptional circumstances. As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed January 17, 2002.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Edelman, and Guzman.

Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).