

**Affirmed and Opinion filed February 21, 2002.**



**In The**  
**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

---

**NOS. 14-01-00467-CR;  
14-01-00468-CR**

---

**JOSE ANSELMO VELASQUEZ, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

---

**On Appeal from the 177th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause Nos. 854,106 & 859,770**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Appellant entered a plea of guilty to the offenses of retaliation and indecency with a child. On March 1, 2001, the trial court sentenced appellant in each cause to confinement for 10 years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Appellant filed timely pro se notices of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional

evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

Accordingly, the judgments of the trial court are affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed February 21, 2002.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Seymore, and Guzman.

Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).