Affirmed and Opinion filed February 21, 2002.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NOS. 14-01-00939-CR & 14-01-00940-CR

BYRON POTIER, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 263rd District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause Nos. 830,151 & 869,037

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant was indicted for the offenses of aggravated kidnapping and aggravated sexual assault. Appellant was found guilty of the lesser included offense of kidnapping and sentenced to ten years confinement in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice–Institutional Division. Appellant pled guilty to the less offense of sexual assault and was sentenced to fifteen years confinement in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice–Institutional Division. Appellant pled guilty to the less offense of sexual assault and was sentenced to fifteen years confinement in the Texas Department of Criminal Justices–Institutional Division. Appellant filed a timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v*. *California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was provided a copy of the appellate record by counsel and advised of the right to file a pro se response. Appellant filed a pro se response on February 15, 2002.

On appeal, it appears appellant raises two contentions: (1) legal sufficiency of the evidence; and (2) ineffective assistance of counsel. After a review of appellant's response, the record, and the applicable law, we agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of appellant's contentions would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed February 21, 2002. Panel consists of Justices Hudson, Fowler, and Edelman. Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).