

Affirmed and Opinion filed April 13, 2000.



In The
Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00675-CR
NO. 14-99-00679-CR

JOSEPH SALAZAR, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 183rd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 683,925 and 684,190

OPINION

Appellant was charged by indictment in two causes with the felony offense of aggravated robbery. In cause number 683,925, he waived his right to trial by jury and entered a plea of nolo contendere with an agreed recommendation on punishment from the State. In cause number 684,190, appellant waived his right to trial by jury and entered a plea of guilty with an agreed recommendation from the State. The court assessed punishment in accordance with the plea agreements in both cases and placed appellant on deferred adjudication for a term of ten years. The State subsequently filed a motion to adjudicate

guilt in both causes. Upon appellant's pleas of true, the court adjudicated guilt and assessed punishment in accordance with a plea agreement, at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for twelve years.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable points of error to be advanced. See *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, appellant has not responded.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed April 13, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Amidei, Anderson, and Frost.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).