

## In The

## **Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

\_\_\_\_\_

NO. 14-99-00948-CR

\_\_\_\_\_

**LESLIE MAURICE BOYD, Appellant** 

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 178th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 799,952

## OPINION

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of possession of a controlled substance, namely cocaine, weighing less than one gram, enhanced with two prior felony convictions. A jury found appellant guilty as charged in the indictment. After appellant entered a plea of true to each enhancement paragraph, the jury found both enhancement allegations true, and assessed punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for twelve years.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

## PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed May 11, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Amidei, Anderson and Frost.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).