

## In The

## **Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

NO. 14-99-00890-CR

**DAMON SIMMONS, Appellant** 

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 179<sup>th</sup> District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 814,816

## **OPINION**

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of aggravated robbery. He entered a plea of not guilty to the offense and was convicted by a jury. The jury assessed punishment at thirty years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's court appointed attorney filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493

(1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable points of error to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se response. As of this date, appellant has not responded.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

## PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed June 1, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Amidei, Fowler, and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).