Dismissed and Opinion filed June 14, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-01-00497-CR

KEITH O'BRYAN GOODWIN, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 338th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 843,407

OPINION

Appellant pled guilty to the offense of burglary of a habitation on May 9, 2000. In accordance with the terms of a plea bargain agreement with the State, the trial court imposed 5 years deferred adjudication probation, a \$500 fine, 300 hours of community service, boot camp, prison for a day, DAET, random urinalysis, and \$50 restitution. The State filed a motion to adjudicate guilt. After a hearing, the trial court found appellant guilty and assessed punishment at confinement for 2 years. Because we have no jurisdiction over this appeal, we dismiss.

Appellant filed a timely general notice of appeal that did not comply with the

requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX.R. APP. P. 25.2(b)(3). The requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3) apply to an appeal from a judgment adjudicating guilt when, as in the present case, the State recommended deferred adjudication probation at the original plea. *See Watson v. State*, 924 S.W.2d711,714-15 (Tex. Crim. App. 1996). Because the time for filing a proper notice of appeal has expired, appellant may not file an amended notice of appeal to correct jurisdictional defects. *State v. Riewe*, 13 S.W.3d408, 413-14 (Tex. Crim. App. 2000). Therefore, we are without jurisdiction to consider complaints concerning the adjudication of guilt.

Nor may we nowconsider any complaint concerning the original plea because those had to have been raised when deferred adjudication community supervision was first imposed. *Manuel v. State*, 994 S.W.2d 658, 661-62 (Tex. Crim. App. 1999). Moreover, in a pleabargained felony case, when an appellant files a notice of appeal that does not comply with Rule 25.2(b)(3), the appellate court may not consider the issue of voluntariness of the plea. *Cooper v. State*, No. 1100-99, slip op. at 8, 2001 WL 321579 at * 1 (Tex. Crim. App. April 4, 2001).

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed June 14, 2001. Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler, and Wittig. Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).