

## In The

## **Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

NO. 14-01-00250-CR

**HECTOR VALENCIA, Appellant** 

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 351st District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 859,905

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant entered a plea of guilty to the offense of possession of over 400 grams of cocaine with the intent to deliver. On January 11, 2001, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for twenty years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice pursuant to an agreed recommendation from the State. Appellant filed a pro se notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v*. *California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of

the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief. Appellant's general notice of appeal does not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(b)(3). Therefore, we are without jurisdiction to consider any of appellant's issues, including the voluntariness of the plea. *See Cooper v. State*, 45 S.W.2d 77, 83 (Tex. Crim. App. 2001) (holding that appellant who files general notice of appeal may not appeal voluntariness of negotiated plea).

Accordingly, the appeal is ordered dismissed.

## PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed August 9,2001.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Brister, Justices Fowler and Seymore.

Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).