Affirmed and Opinion filed September 14, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-01363-CR

RAFIQUE ALI, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 208th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 745,558

ΟΡΙΝΙΟΝ

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of aggravated robbery. Appellant entered a plea of guilty without an agreed recommendation on punishment from the State. The State agreed not to argue for more than a twenty-five year sentence. The court found appellant guilty of aggravated robbery with a deadly weapon and assessed punishment at confinement for eighteen years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed September 14, 2000. Panel consists of Chief Justice Murphy and Justices Hudson and Wittig. Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).