

**Affirmed and Opinion filed September 16, 1999.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

-----  
**NO. 14-98-01238-CR**  
-----

**REGINALD ANTHONY HICKS, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 184th District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 596,327**

---

**O P I N I O N**

Reginald Anthony Hicks (appellant) was indicted for the first degree felony offense of murder. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 19.02 (Vernon 1994). Appellant pleaded not guilty and was tried by the court. The trial court found appellant guilty and sentenced him to eighty years' confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 12.32 (Vernon 1994).

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation

of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 809 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* brief. As of this date, no *pro se* brief has been filed and the time to file a brief has expired.

We agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed September 16, 1999.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler, and Frost.

Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).