

In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-98-01347-CR

ARTESA LENAY JOYCE, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 337th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 780,988

OPINION

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of injury to a child. Appellant entered a plea of guilty without an agreed recommendation on punishment from the State. Following the return of a pre-sentence investigation report, the court assessed punishment at confinement for two years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why

there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Amidei, Anderson and Frost.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).