

**Affirmed and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

-----  
**NO. 14-99-00812-CR**  
-----

**RAYMOND SMITH, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 338<sup>th</sup> District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 793,475**

---

**OPINION**

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of sexual assault of a child. Appellant entered a plea of guilty without an agreed recommendation from the State. Following the return of a pre-sentence investigation report, the court assessed punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for sixteen years.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493

(1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Anderson, Fowler and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).