

## In The

## **Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

\_\_\_\_\_

NO. 14-99-01310-CR

\_\_\_\_\_

JAY RICHARD GARCIA, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 183<sup>rd</sup> District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 809,791

## OPINION

Appellant entered a plea of guilty without a plea bargain agreement to the felony offense of burglary of a habitation. Following the return of a pre-sentence investigation report, the court assessed punishment at confinement for twelve years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which she concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493

(1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

## PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Anderson, Fowler and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).