

In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-00-00160-CR

VALENTINE O. J. NOEL, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 183rd District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 715,407

OPINION

Appellant was indicted for the felony offense of aggregate theft. Upon appellant's plea of no contest, the court followed the plea bargain agreement, deferred adjudication of guilt, and placed appellant on probation for ten years and assessed a fine of one thousand dollars. Subsequently, the State filed a motion to adjudicate guilt. Appellant entered a plea of true and the court assessed punishment in accordance with a plea bargain agreement at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for four years.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 19, 2000.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Murphy and Justices Amidei and Hudson.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).