

In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-00-00525-CR

NO. 14-00-00526-CR

STEVEN M. JACOBSEN, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 338th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause Nos. 828,668 and 810,357

OPINION

Appellant entered pleas of guilty to two felony offenses; sexual assault of a child and tampering with a governmental record. In each case, the court assessed punishment pursuant to a plea bargain agreement at confinement for two years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in each case in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The briefs meet the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record

demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

In each case, we have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the briefs would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State. Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed in each case and the motions to withdraw are granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed November 16, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Wittig and Frost.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).