JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION - CAPITAL MURDER SENTENCED TO DEATH - INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION

THE STATE OF TEXAS NO. 2007-CR-4563A IN THE 187TH DISTRICT COURT VS OF ARMANDO LEZA **BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS** JUDGE PRESIDING: RAYMOND ANGELINI DATE OF JUDGMENT: 05-21-2009 APPEARANCES LORINA RUMMEL

APPEARANCES TERRANCE MCDONALD FOR STATE: JAN CAROL ISCHY FOR DEFENSE: BARBARA HUGHES OFFENSE CONVICTED OF: CAPITAL MURDER - OTHER FELONY

19.03 (A)(2) PC **DATE OF CONVICTION: 05-21-2009** DEGREE OF OFFENSE: CAPITAL FELONY DATE OFFENSE COMMITTED: 04-04-07

CHARGING INSTRUMENT: INDICTMENT

PLEA TO JURY: NOT GUILTY

VERDICT OF JURY:

FOREPERSON: **CRYSTAL WATERS**

We, the jury, find the defendant, ARMANDO LEZA, guilty of Capital Murder as charged in the indictment."

VERDICT OF JURY: (PUNISHMENT)

ISSUE NO. 1: Is there a probability that the defendant, ARMANDO LEZA, would commit criminal acts of violence that would constitute a continuing threat to society? Answer: We, the jury, unanimously find and determine beyond a reasonable doubt that the answer to Issue No. 1 is "Yes".

ISSUE NO. 2: Do you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant, ARMANDO LEZA, actually caused the death of CARYL JEAN ALLEN or did not actually cause death CARYL JEAN ALLEN but intended to kill CARYL JEAN ALLEN or anticipated that human life would be taken? Answer: We, the jury, unanimously find and determine beyond reasonable doubt that the Answer Issue No. 2 is "Yes".

ISSUE NO. 3: Taking into consideration all the evidence, including the circumstances of the offense, ARMANDO LEZA'S character and background, and the personal moral culpability of ARMANDO LEZA, is there a sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances to warrant that sentence of life imprisonment without parole rather than a death sentence be imposed? Answer: We, the jury, unanimously find and determine that the answer to Issue No. 3 is "No".

"We, the jury, return in open court the above answers as our answers to the Issues submitted to us, and the same is our verdict in this case.

DATE SENTENCE IMPOSED: 05-21-2009

SENTENCE OF DEATH (INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION): DEATH TDCJ-ID

TIME CREDITED: N/A COSTS: \$326.00 PLUS ATTY FEES

TOTAL AMOUNT OF

RESTITUTION TO BE PAID TO: RESTITUTION/REPARATION: \$ 0.00 NAME: ADDRESS:

On the 11TH Day of MAY, 2009 the above numbered and entitled cause was reached and called for trial, and the State appeared by the attorney stated above, and the Defendant and the Defendant's attorney were also present. Thereupon, both sides announced ready for trial, and the Defendant, having been duly arraigned, entered a plea of NOT GUILTY to ____CAPITAL MURDER - OTHER FELONY ___. The trial was before a Jury who, after hearing the evidence, the Charge of the Court and the argument of Counsel thereon, rendered a verdict as shown above.

Thereupon, in accordance with the law, a separate sentencing proceeding was conducted. Evidence was submitted, the

Jury was charged by the Court as to certain special issues and rendered a verdict as shown above.

| NO | 2007-CR-4563A | STATE 0 | F TEXAS VSA | RMANDO LEZA | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | |
| abov | is, therefore, ORDERED, ADJU e, as found by the verdict of the s do have and recover of said d | jury, and, the punishme | ent is fixed in accord | lance with the Jury's verd | lict and the State of |
| whet Defe | ne jury having been discharged her the Defendant had anything ndant answered nothing in bar ndant's attorney, to pronounce | g to say why said sente thereof. Whereupon th | nce should not be e Court proceeded, | pronounced upon said I , in the presence of said | ked the Defendant Defendant, and the Defendant and the |
| heret Bexa Depa The D | is ORDERED by the Court that by sentenced to DEATH. The Dear County, Texas, and by him satirtment of Criminal Justice pendoperendant is hereby remanded to ence. | efendant shall be taken I afely conveyed and deli ding receipt of the Mand | by the authorized ag vered to the Directo ate from the Court o | gent of the State of Texas or of the Institutional Div of Criminal Appeals Sittin | or by the Sheriff of rision of the Texas ng in Austin, Texas. |
| Th N/A | ne Court finds that as of the dat | e of sentencing, the de | fendant has been i | n custody on this charge | for a period of |
| | ne Court thereupon fully advised matic Review. | d the defendant that the | Judgment of Convi | iction and Sentence of Di | EATH, is subject to |
| | The jury finds affirmatively t 17(A) Penal code, during the (2), C.C.P. | hat the defendant used commission of the off | or exhibited a dead ense as charged in | dly weapon as defined in the indictment. Article 4 | Sec 1.07(A) 2.12 sec.3G (a) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| SIGN | NED and ENTERED of Record th | his day of | MAY 2 1 2009 | 2009 | |
| Notic | ce of Appeal: <u>Automatic</u> | | _ | Λ | |
| | | | 18 | AYMOND ANGELINI 87 TH DISTRICT COURT EXAR COUNTY, TEXAS | |

Prepared by <u>EG</u>