

# Court Advisory

Fourth Court of Appeals  
Cadena-Reeves Justice Center  
300 Dolorosa, Suite 3200  
San Antonio, Texas 78205-3037



Contact: Luz Estrada, Chief Deputy Clerk  
Phone: (210) 335-3219

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
September 26, 2019

## Fourth Court of Appeals to Hear Oral Argument

The Fourth Court of Appeals will hear oral arguments in one appeal on Wednesday, October 2, 2019, beginning at 9:00 a.m., before the following panel of justices: Chief Justice Sandee Bryan Marion, Justice Rebeca C. Martinez, and Justice Luz Elena D. Chapa.

The following case will be presented:

*Dorothy A. Holloway v. The State of Texas* - Dorothy Holloway was convicted by a jury of manslaughter, and the jury assessed her punishment at fourteen years' imprisonment and a \$3,000.00 fine. The jury also found Holloway used a deadly weapon during the commission of the offense. On appeal, Holloway contends the evidence is insufficient to support her conviction and the deadly weapon finding. In challenging the sufficiency of the evidence to support her conviction, Holloway also asserts the State may not impose criminal liability on Texas drivers by alleging noncompliance with a medical prescription. Finally, Holloway contends the trial court erred in admitting evidence of extraneous acts of drug use.

The Fourth Court of Appeals will hear oral arguments in one appeal on Thursday, October 3, 2019, beginning at 10:00 a.m., before the court sitting en banc.

The following case will be presented:

*Cody Texas, L.P. v. BP Exploration, Ltd.* - This case was set for en banc review to determine the scope of a previous opinion concerning a bill of review proceeding. On appeal, appellant Cody Texas argues that our previous opinion held that appellee BPL Exploration, Ltd.'s claims were barred by limitations as a matter of law and therefore required the trial court to render a take-nothing judgment under the law of the case doctrine. In response, BPL argues that the law of the case doctrine does not apply, and that our previous opinion only required the trial court to set aside the previous judgment and sign a new judgment. BPL alternatively

