

**Before the Presiding Judges of the Administrative Judicial Regions
Per Curiam Rule 12 Decision**

APPEAL NO.: 19-029

RESPONDENT: County Court at Law No. 1, Johnson County

DATE: February 10, 2020

SPECIAL COMMITTEE: Judge Stephen B. Ables, Chairman; Judge Ray Wheless, Judge Olen Underwood; Judge Billy Ray Stubblefield; Judge Susan Brown

The Petitioners' appeal involves the same records at issue in Rule 12 Decision No. 19-028; but for the Respondent, it is factually identical to that appeal. In Rule 12 Decision No. 19-028, we concluded that Rule 12 Decision No. 19-019 was dispositive of the appeal because the records and issues in the appeals were substantially similar. We likewise conclude that Rule 12 Decision No. 19-019 is dispositive of this appeal as well.

Accordingly, because the records at issue are not judicial records under Rule 12, we can neither grant the petition in whole or in part, nor sustain denial to the requested records. Because the records at issue are not judicial records, we need not address Respondent's exemption claims related to the records. And because the records at issue in this appeal are not judicial records, Respondent was not obligated to comply with Rule 12 notice of denial procedures in responding to Petitioners.