

Opinion issued July 7, 2020



In The
Court of Appeals
For The
First District of Texas

NO. 01-20-00387-CV

GUADALUPE S. QUINTANILLA, Appellant

V.

ROBERT MOSEQUEDA, JUAN SORIA MOSQUEDA, MARGARET SORIA, RITA SORIA VARGAS, ARTHUR SORIA, JESSIE G. SORIA, SARAH GARCIA, AND DORA DAMIAN, Appellees

**On Appeal from the 149th District Court
Brazoria County, Texas
Trial Court Case No. 65349**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant, Guadalupe S. Quintanilla, has filed a petition for permissive appeal from an interlocutory order denying her motion for summary judgment. *See* TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 51.014(d), (f); TEX. R. CIV. P. 168; TEX. R. APP. P. 28.3.

To be entitled to a permissive appeal from an interlocutory order that would not otherwise be appealable, the requesting party must establish that (1) the order to be appealed involves a “controlling question of law as to which there is a substantial ground for difference of opinion” and (2) an immediate appeal from the order “may materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation.” TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 51.014(d); *see* TEX. R. CIV. P. 168; TEX. R. APP. P. 28.3(e)(4). Quintanilla’s petition for permissive appeal fails to establish these requirements. Accordingly, we deny the petition for permissive appeal.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Justices Kelly, Goodman, and Hightower.