Legislative Appropriations Request for Fiscal Years 2024 and 2025

Submitted to the Office of the Governor, Budget and Policy Division, and the Legislative Budget Board

by

The Thirteenth Court of Appeals (Agency 233)

August 5, 2022



CERTIFICATE

Thirteenth Court of Appeals (233)

Agency Name ___

This is to certify that the information contained in with the Legislative Budget Board (LBB) and the is accurate to the best of my knowledge and that the Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Submission application are identical.	Governor's he electronic	Office Budget Division (Governor's Office) submission to the LBB via the Automated
Additionally, should it become likely at any time the LBB and the Governor's Office will be not 7.01 (2022–23 GAA).		
Chief Executive Officer or Presiding Judge		Board or Commission Chair
Signature		Signature
Dori Contreras		
Printed Name		Printed Name
Chief Justice		
Title		Title
August 5, 2022		
Date		Date
Chief Financial Officer		
Signature		
Printed Name		
Title		
Date		

Administrator's Statement

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

Administrator's Statement For Fiscal Years 2024 and 2025 88th Legislature

Texas' intermediate appellate courts have weathered serious challenges brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic and the 2020 ransomware attack, but these challenges will continue into the foreseeable future. The courts have not been fully funded since 2015 yet have dutifully risen to the task as can be seen by the dramatic decline in the number of cases pending in the courts of appeals. Respectfully, additional funding is now necessary to ensure the efficient administration of justice going forward.

The core function of Texas intermediate appellate courts is to process, review, and decide by written opinion appeals from trial courts in civil and criminal cases. Population growth across the State and the magnitude of annual case filings, in concert with an ever-increasing number of case types requiring expedited review, make clear that the courts of appeals need sufficient resources to manage their busy dockets and provide the high quality of justice to which the citizens of Texas are entitled. Although case filings decreased in 2020 and 2021 due to the pandemic, filings have, unsurprisingly, returned to pre-pandemic levels as of June 2022 and are expected to continue to increase as trial courts resume full operations. The Annual Statistical Report for 2021 reported that family violence cases increased at the highest rate over the past five years. We anticipate a rise in other types of litigation as well that will result in a surge of appeals over the next biennium.

The courts of appeals, collectively, began in the 79th and 80th Legislative Sessions to work toward a zero-based budget model referred to as Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts. This budget model quantified the funding required to meet the personnel and operational needs of the courts, thus enabling the courts to accomplish their core function and meet their performance measures. The Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts initiative was fully funded in 2015, and the courts have been operating under this zero-based budget model since that time.

Since the development of the budget model in approximately 2005, the demands of the core function of the courts have required higher-skilled and higher-salary positions. The prior model used salary classification levels for attorneys and staff that are now outdated. Additionally, operating costs for necessary resources such as Westlaw/LexisNexis and other administrative needs have increased dramatically. Further, considering recent attacks on judges and an increase in mass shootings, more funds are needed to provide adequate security at the courts.

Prior to the 87th Legislative Session, in early 2020, the Council of Chiefs revised the data in the budget model to reflect the increased funding needed to optimally operate the fourteen courts. However, in preparing for the impending session, the Council was keenly aware that the need for state funds to address the pandemic was the highest priority. Because there were many uncertainties regarding the state of the economy and it was anticipated state revenues would be limited, agencies were asked to reduce budgets by 5%. The Council appreciated and respected the position of the state and, therefore, did not seek additional funding at that time. While the Council greatly appreciates that budgets of the courts of appeals in the last biennium were not cut, funding of the updated budget model is, nonetheless, now necessary. Accordingly, the budget model data has been further updated to reflect current operating needs.

Since 1983, the work of the intermediate courts of appeals has been accomplished by 80 justices statewide. In that time, the population of Texas has nearly doubled. A key component to handling the ever-increasing workload without additional justices has been the employment of a highly skilled and trained professional workforce, including appellate lawyers and clerical staff, who assist the justices in processing complex cases, researching and drafting orders and opinions, disposing of voluminous motions, and managing accelerated and emergency matters. Appellate work requires specialized knowledge and significant experience, and the courts constantly face competition from higher-paying private practice and government legal jobs for skilled attorneys and staff. Specialized technological skills are also necessary to understand and operate the complex case management system currently used by the courts. Transitioning to a new case management system in the next biennium will

Administrator's Statement

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

also require special skills. Hiring and retaining qualified support staff is critical to the courts' ability to manage their dockets and efficiently resolve the cases before them.

Funding for all fourteen courts of appeals comprises merely 0.035% of the State budget as a whole. Yet, on average, 96.5% of each appellate court's budget is dedicated to salaries and benefits. Without additional funding, appellate courts will be unable to attract and retain the highly trained and skilled support staff with the specialized knowledge and relevant experience critical to the courts' function. Without sufficient qualified staff, courts cannot comply with Legislative mandates to give accelerated and preferential treatment to certain appeals, such as parental-termination and juvenile-certification appeals under the Family Code, mental-health appeals under the Health and Safety Code, and interlocutory appeals under the Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

All fourteen courts of appeals continue to expend resources to recover from the 2020 ransomware attack on the courts' computer systems. The loss of data overall was substantial and continues to require resources to reconstitute work that could not be recovered. And while all State agencies experienced the negative effects of COVID-19, the combined effects of the ransomware attack plus COVID-19 created a unique hardship for the appellate courts.

EXCEPTIONAL ITEM #1: INCREASE THE GENERAL REVENUE LIMIT TO MEET THE UPDATED BUDGET MODEL

The updated budget model reflects increased funding to permit the courts to attract and retain experienced lawyers and support staff with the requisite knowledge and skills to assist the courts in meeting their performance measures and fulfilling the core function of timely processing and disposing of appeals. Without an increase in funding, appellate courts will lose talented personnel to higher-paying private jobs. This loss of key personnel will detrimentally affect performance standards, including (1) a reduction in overall dispositions of appeals, preventing the courts from clearing older cases and reaching the disposition target of 100% of new appeals filed in the biennium, and (2) an increase in the time from filing until disposition for those appeals which remain pending. Any unnecessary delay will be particularly devastating to the State by creating significant adverse consequences for the businesses, families, and children in Texas that are awaiting justice through the resolution of their disputes. Note, the updated budget model does not include an adjustment for the impact of inflation.

EXCEPTIONAL ITEM #2: JUDICIARY-WIDE INFLATION RELIEF

Attorneys and staff of the courts of appeals have been impacted in many ways by increasing costs due to inflation. The impact has been devastating for many because the State has not given them a meaningful raise in a significant period of time. Their buying power for goods and services has diminished and, at some courts, has resulted in attrition by those seeking higher-paying jobs. If salaries are not increased, the courts risk losing more personnel that will be costly to replace. Training takes time and will impact the ability of the courts to meet the current and expected demands as we navigate the post-pandemic surge.

On May 4, 2022, the Council of Chiefs submitted written testimony to the Senate Committee on Finance comprised of testimonials from court personnel describing personal experiences with rising costs. The Council asks for consideration of this testimony.

The courts of appeals join in the judiciary-wide inflation relief for non-judicial employees sought by Article IV courts and agencies. Respectfully, a ten percent (10%) increase on the budget model salaries is sought to help absorb the impact of inflation. To maintain the Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts model that has worked exceptionally well for years, the requested percentage must be based on the budget model salaries. This exceptional item is not duplicative of exceptional item 1, which does not include an adjustment for the inflation that is expected to persist for some time to come.

Administrator's Statement

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

RIDER REQUESTS:

The courts of appeals also request the following with regard to the across-the-board riders found in Article IV (p. IV-38):

- 1. Retain Article IV rider, Sec. 3, Appellate Court Exemptions
- 2. Retain Article IV rider, Sec. 5, Interagency Contracts for Assigned Judges for Appellate Courts
- 3. Retain Article IV rider, Sec. 6, Appellate Court Transfer Authority

Historically, the Legislature has granted the courts exemption from certain limitations in the General Appropriations Act. They have also granted the courts the authority to carry over unexpended budget balances between years within the biennium. The flexibility afforded by these measures enhances the courts' management ability, and we seek continuation of these budget features.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS OF SUPPORT:

In order for the courts of appeals to function efficiently, it is vital that the Office of Court Administration (OCA) be adequately funded. The courts of appeals rely on many of the services provided by OCA and, therefore, the courts of appeals fully support the exceptional items the OCA requests as part of its funding. More specifically, the courts of appeals strongly support the request for funding related to the acquisition of a new appellate case management system to replace the current system known as TAMES as well as a case-level data system.

If the Legislature appropriates a cost-of-living increase to state employees, the courts of appeals request that all court employees be included in any such cost-of-living increase.

Finally, the courts of appeals wish to express appreciation to and support for the Judicial Compensation Commission and the Legislature's efforts to strengthen the justice system by increasing judicial salaries to attract and retain a strong judiciary. The courts of appeals request the Legislature implement the Judicial Compensation Commission's recommendations for an increase in judicial compensation.

Note: on Appropriated Receipts – At the direction of the LBB & Governor's Office, this Court has included appropriated receipts in the amount of \$10,594 reflecting reimbursement for copies of opinions and other court documents. These amounts are merely an offset for additional expenses incurred by the Court and do not constitute additional funds available for general expenditures for the Court. The amount can vary significantly from year to year.

Budget Overview - Biennial Amounts

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

	233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg Appropriation Years: 2024-25								E	EXCEPTIONAL	
	GENERAL REVI	ENUE FUNDS	GR DEI	DICATED	FEDERA	L FUNDS	OTHER F	UNDS	ALL FU	NDS	ITEM FUNDS
	2022-23	2024-25	2022-23	2024-25	2022-23	2024-25	2022-23	2024-25	2022-23	2024-25	2024-25
Goal: 1. Appellate Court Operations 1.1.1. Appellate Court Operations	4,128,037	4,128,037					93,188	93,188	4,221,225	4,221,225	1,736,260
1.1.2. Appellate Justice Salaries	1,836,292	1,836,292					365,800	365,800	2,202,092	2,202,092	, ,
Total, Goal	5,964,329	5,964,329					458,988	458,988	6,423,317	6,423,317	1,736,260
Total, Agency	5,964,329	5,964,329					458,988	458,988	6,423,317	6,423,317	1,736,260
Total FTEs									32.0	29.0	3.0

2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	Req 2024	Req 2025
1 Appellate Court Operations					
1Appellate Court Operations					
1 APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS	2,135,204	2,111,206	2,110,019	2,110,613	2,110,612
2 APPELLATE JUSTICE SALARIES	1,081,668	1,101,046	1,101,046	1,101,046	1,101,046
TOTAL, GOAL 1	\$3,216,872	\$3,212,252	\$3,211,065	\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658
TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST	\$3,216,872	\$3,212,252	\$3,211,065	\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658
TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST*				\$0	\$0
GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST	\$3,216,872	\$3,212,252	\$3,211,065	\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658

2.A. Summary of Base Request by Strategy

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

Goal / Objective / STRATEGY	Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	Req 2024	Req 2025
METHOD OF FINANCING:					
General Revenue Funds:					
1 General Revenue Fund	2,986,482	2,982,164	2,982,165	2,982,165	2,982,164
SUBTOTAL	\$2,986,482	\$2,982,164	\$2,982,165	\$2,982,165	\$2,982,164
Other Funds:					
573 Judicial Fund	182,900	182,900	182,900	182,900	182,900
666 Appropriated Receipts	11,490	11,188	10,000	10,594	10,594
777 Interagency Contracts	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000
SUBTOTAL	\$230,390	\$230,088	\$228,900	\$229,494	\$229,494
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING	\$3,216,872	\$3,212,252	\$3,211,065	\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658

^{*}Rider appropriations for the historical years are included in the strategy amounts.

8/3/2022 3:45:57PM

2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 $\,$

Agency code: 233 Agency name:	Agency name: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg						
METHOD OF FINANCING	Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	Req 2024	Req 2025		
GENERAL REVENUE							
1 General Revenue Fund							
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS							
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2020-21 GAA)	\$2,816,012	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2022-23 GAA)	\$0	\$2,982,164	\$2,982,165	\$0	\$0		
Regular appropriations from MOF table	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,982,165	\$2,982,164		
RIDER APPROPRIATION							
Art. IX, Sec. 18.25 (o)(1)Contingency for HB2384 (2020-21 GAA)	\$897,737	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Comments: Add New Strategy A.1.2							
Art IX, Sec. 18.25 (o)(2) Contingency for HB 2384 (2020-21 GAA)	\$(753,225)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Comments: Reduce Strategy A.1.1							

2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Agency code:	233	Agency name	: Thirteenth C	ourt of Appeals Distric	t, Corpus Christi-Edin	burg	
METHOD OF FI	NANCING		Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	Req 2024	Req 2025
GENERAL R	<u>EVENUE</u>						
A	Art IX, Sec. 18.25 Contingency for I	HB 2384 (2020-21 GAA)	\$25,958	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Comments: Additional funds for judicial tenure	or paying judicial salaries as a re					
TOTAL, ALL GENERAL REVENUE		\$2,986,482	\$2,982,164	\$2,982,165	\$2,982,165	\$2,982,164	
	GENERAL REVENUE		\$2,986,482	\$2,982,164	\$2,982,165	\$2,982,165	\$2,982,164
OTHER FUN	<u>IDS</u>						
	icial Fund No. 573 GULAR APPROPRIATIONS						
R	Regular Appropriations from MOF 1	Table (2020-21 GAA)	\$182,900	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ā	Regular Appropriations from MOF 1	Table (2022-23 GAA)	\$0	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$0	\$0
R	Regular Appropriations from MOF 1	Table (\$0	\$0	\$0	\$182,900	\$182,900

2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 $\,$

Agency code: 233	Agency name:	Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg					
METHOD OF FINANCING		Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	Req 2024	Req 2025	
OTHER FUNDS							
TOTAL, Judicial F	Fund No. 573	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$182,900	
666 Appropriated I	Receipts PPROPRIATIONS						
Regular App	propriations from MOF Table (2020-21 GAA)	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Regular App	propriations from MOF Table (2022-23 GAA)	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	
Art IX, Sec.	. 8.02, Reimbursements and Payments	\$1,490	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Art IX, Sec.	. 8.02, Reimbursements and Payments	\$0	\$1,188	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Regular app	propriations from MOF table	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,594	\$10,594	

2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 $\,$

Agency code:	233	Agency name:	Thirteenth Co	ourt of Appeals Distric	t, Corpus Christi-Edin	burg	
METHOD OF FI	NANCING		Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	Req 2024	Req 2025
OTHER FUN	IDS						
TOTAL,	Appropriated Receipts		\$11,490	\$11,188	\$10,000	\$10,594	\$10,594
	eragency Contracts GULAR APPROPRIATIONS						
Я	Regular Appropriations from MOF T	'able (2020-21 GAA)	\$36,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
F	Regular Appropriations from MOF T	(able (2022-23 GAA)	\$0	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$0	\$0
F	Regular Apppropriations from MOF	Table	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$36,000	\$36,000
TOTAL,	Interagency Contracts		\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000
TOTAL, ALL	OTHER FUNDS		\$230,390	\$230,088	\$228,900	\$229,494	\$229,494
GRAND TOTAL	_		\$3,216,872	\$3,212,252	\$3,211,065	\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658

8/3/2022 3:45:57PM

2.B. Summary of Base Request by Method of Finance

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 $\,$

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 233	Agency name:	: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg					
METHOD OF FINANCING		Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	Req 2024	Req 2025	
FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT POSITIONS							
REGULAR APPROPRIATIONS							
Regular appropriations from MOF Table		0.0	0.0	0.0	29.0	29.0	
LAPSED APPROPRIATIONS							
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2020-21 GAA)		32.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Regular Appropriations from MOF Table (2022-23 GAA)		0.0	32.0	32.0	0.0	0.0	
UNAUTHORIZED NUMBER OVER (BELOW) CAP							
Unauthorized amount under appropriated FTE		(3.1)	(3.3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL, ADJUSTED FTES		28.9	28.7	32.0	29.0	29.0	

NUMBER OF 100% FEDERALLY FUNDED FTEs

2.C. Summary of Base Request by Object of Expense

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

OBJECT OF EXPENSE	Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	BL 2024	BL 2025
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$2,935,876	\$2,965,376	\$3,003,230	\$3,003,230	\$3,003,230
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$151,342	\$129,225	\$134,699	\$136,128	\$136,127
2001 PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$1,204	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2003 CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$12,551	\$3,882	\$3,800	\$3,800	\$3,800
2004 UTILITIES	\$2,031	\$2,106	\$2,200	\$2,100	\$2,100
2005 TRAVEL	\$2,146	\$7,774	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000
2007 RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$401	\$1,202	\$401	\$401	\$401
2009 OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$87,493	\$102,687	\$60,735	\$60,000	\$60,000
5000 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	\$23,828	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
OOE Total (Excluding Riders) OOE Total (Riders)	\$3,216,872	\$3,212,252	\$3,211,065	\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658
Grand Total	\$3,216,872	\$3,212,252	\$3,211,065	\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658

Date: 8/5/2022
Time: 10:50:04AM

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency Code: 233 Agency: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

BASE REQUEST STRATEGY: 1-1-1 Appellate Court Operations

Code	Type of Expense	Expended	Estimated	Budgeted	Requested	Requested
1	Consumable Supplies	\$12,551	\$3,882	\$3,800	\$3,800	\$3,800
4	Travel	2,157	7,774	6,000	6,000	6,000
5	Westlaw/Lexis	17,649	18,179	25,000	25,000	25,000
6	Registrations/Training	475	150	150	150	150
14	Rentals & Leases - Postage Meter	401	401	401	401	401
23	Longevity	25,420	26,360	25,000	25,000	25,000
24	Freight/Delivery	619	433	600	600	600
27	Membership Dues	4,833	5,116	5,000	5,000	5,000
64	SORM Assessment	2,602	2,537	2,500	2,500	2,500
80	Utilities	2,031	1,931	2,200	2,200	2,200
88	Lumpsum	5,579	23,893	15,000	15,000	15,000
208	Unemployment Compensation	3,516	1,338	0	0	0
213	ERS 1% & .5%	26,905	26,705	28,000	28,000	28,000
	Total, Operating Costs	\$104,738	\$118,699	\$113,651	\$113,651	\$113,651

2.D. Summary of Base Request Objective Outcomes

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation system of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

Goal/ Obje	ctive / Outcome	Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	BL 2024	BL 2025
	late Court Operations Appellate Court Operations					
KEY	1 Clearance Rate					
		121.10%	100.00%	100.00%	95.00%	95.00%
KEY	2 Percentage of Cases Under Submission for Less T	han One Year				
		100.00%	99.00%	100.00%	95.00%	95.00%
KEY	3 Percentage of Cases Pending for Less Than Two Y	Years				
		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	95.00%	95.00%

2.E. Summary of Exceptional Items Request

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: **8/3/2022** TIME: **3:45:58PM**

Agency code: 233 Agency name: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

		2024			2025		Bien	ınium
Priority Item	GR and GR/GR Dedicated	All Funds	FTEs	GR and GR Dedicated	All Funds	FTEs	GR and GR Dedicated	All Funds
1 Updated COA Budget Model	\$631,786	\$631,786	3.0	\$631,786	\$631,786	3.0	\$1,263,572	\$1,263,572
2 Judiciary-wide inflation relief	\$236,344	\$236,344		\$236,344	\$236,344		\$472,688	\$472,688
Total, Exceptional Items Request	\$868,130	\$868,130	3.0	\$868,130	\$868,130	3.0	\$1,736,260	\$1,736,260
Method of Financing General Revenue General Revenue - Dedicated Federal Funds Other Funds	\$868,130	\$868,130		\$868,130	\$868,130		\$1,736,260	\$1,736,260
	\$868,130	\$868,130		\$868,130	\$868,130		\$1,736,260	\$1,736,260
Full Time Equivalent Positions			3.0			3.0		

Number of 100% Federally Funded FTEs

2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy

DATE:

TIME:

\$4,079,789

\$4,079,788

8/3/2022

3:45:59PM

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 233 Agency name: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg Base Base **Exceptional Exceptional Total Request Total Request** Goal/Objective/STRATEGY 2024 2025 2024 2025 2024 2025 1 Appellate Court Operations 1 Appellate Court Operations \$2,110,613 \$868,130 \$2,978,743 1 APPELLATE COURT OPERATIONS \$2,110,612 \$868,130 \$2,978,742 2 APPELLATE JUSTICE SALARIES 0 1,101,046 1,101,046 1,101,046 0 1,101,046 **TOTAL, GOAL 1** \$3,211,659 \$3,211,658 \$868,130 \$868,130 \$4,079,789 \$4,079,788 TOTAL, AGENCY STRATEGY REQUEST \$3,211,659 \$3,211,658 \$868,130 \$868,130 \$4,079,789 \$4,079,788 TOTAL, AGENCY RIDER APPROPRIATIONS REQUEST

\$3,211,659

GRAND TOTAL, AGENCY REQUEST

\$3,211,658

\$868,130

\$868,130

2.F. Summary of Total Request by Strategy

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE:

8/3/2022

TIME: **3:45:59PM**

Agency code: 233	Agency code: 233 Agency name: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg									
Goal/Objective/STRATEGY		Base 2024	Base 2025	Exceptional 2024	Exceptional 2025	Total Request 2024	Total Request 2025			
General Revenue Funds:										
1 General Revenue Fund		\$2,982,165	\$2,982,164	\$868,130	\$868,130	\$3,850,295	\$3,850,294			
		\$2,982,165	\$2,982,164	\$868,130	\$868,130	\$3,850,295	\$3,850,294			
Other Funds:										
573 Judicial Fund		182,900	182,900	0	0	182,900	182,900			
666 Appropriated Receipts		10,594	10,594	0	0	10,594	10,594			
777 Interagency Contracts		36,000	36,000	0	0	36,000	36,000			
		\$229,494	\$229,494	\$0	\$0	\$229,494	\$229,494			
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING		\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658	\$868,130	\$868,130	\$4,079,789	\$4,079,788			
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITION	NS	29.0	29.0	3.0	3.0	32.0	32.0			

2.G. Summary of Total Request Objective Outcomes

Date: 8/3/2022 Time: 3:45:59PM

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation system of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code:	Agency	name: Thirteenth Court of A	ppeals District, Corpus Chris	ti-Edinburg		
Goal/ Objective	/ Outcome BL 2024	BL 2025	Excp 2024	Excp 2025	Total Request 2024	Total Request 2025
	pellate Court Operations pellate Court Operations					
KEY	1 Clearance Rate					
	95.00%	95.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
KEY	2 Percentage of Cases Under Subm	nission for Less Than One Yea	ır			
	95.00%	95.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
KEY	3 Percentage of Cases Pending for	Less Than Two Years				
	95.00%	95.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

GOAL: 1 Appellate Court Operations

OBJECTIVE: 1 Appellate Court Operations Service Categories:

STRATEGY: 1 Appellate Court Operations Service: 01 Income: A.2 Age: B.3

CODE	DESCRIPTION	Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	BL 2024	BL 2025
Output M	leasures:					
1	Number of Civil Cases Disposed	422.00	380.00	400.00	370.00	400.00
2	Number of Criminal Cases Disposed	299.00	280.00	300.00	270.00	300.00
Explanat	ory/Input Measures:					
1	Number of Civil Cases Filed	276.00	300.00	350.00	375.00	400.00
2	Number of Criminal Cases Filed	141.00	200.00	250.00	275.00	300.00
3	Number of Cases Transferred in	61.00	81.00	70.00	60.00	60.00
4	Number of Cases Transferred out	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Objects o	f Expense:					
1001	SALARIES AND WAGES	\$1,883,109	\$1,899,776	\$1,937,630	\$1,937,630	\$1,937,630
1002	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$122,441	\$93,779	\$99,253	\$100,682	\$100,681
2001	PROFESSIONAL FEES AND SERVICES	\$1,204	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2003	CONSUMABLE SUPPLIES	\$12,551	\$3,882	\$3,800	\$3,800	\$3,800
2004	UTILITIES	\$2,031	\$2,106	\$2,200	\$2,100	\$2,100
2005	TRAVEL	\$2,146	\$7,774	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$6,000
2007	RENT - MACHINE AND OTHER	\$401	\$1,202	\$401	\$401	\$401
2009	OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE	\$87,493	\$102,687	\$60,735	\$60,000	\$60,000
5000	CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	\$23,828	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

200 1 1111 (66	nth Court of Appeals Distric	et, corpus em istr Eum	ibuig		
GOAL: 1 Appellate Court Operations					
OBJECTIVE: 1 Appellate Court Operations			Service Categor	ies:	
STRATEGY: 1 Appellate Court Operations			Service: 01	Income: A.2	Age: B.3
CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	BL 2024	BL 2025
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$2,135,204	\$2,111,206	\$2,110,019	\$2,110,613	\$2,110,612
Method of Financing:					
1 General Revenue Fund	\$2,087,714	\$2,064,018	\$2,064,019	\$2,064,019	\$2,064,018
SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$2,087,714	\$2,064,018	\$2,064,019	\$2,064,019	\$2,064,018
Method of Financing:					
666 Appropriated Receipts	\$11,490	\$11,188	\$10,000	\$10,594	\$10,594
777 Interagency Contracts	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000	\$36,000
SUBTOTAL, MOF (OTHER FUNDS)	\$47,490	\$47,188	\$46,000	\$46,594	\$46,594
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$2,110,613	\$2,110,612
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$2,135,204	\$2,111,206	\$2,110,019	\$2,110,613	\$2,110,612
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	22.9	22.7	26.0	23.0	23.0

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

GOAL: 1 Appellate Court Operations

OBJECTIVE: 1 Appellate Court Operations

1 Appellate Court Operations

Service Categories:

U

Age: B.3

CODE DESCRIPTION

STRATEGY:

Exp 2021

Est 2022

Bud 2023

Service: 01

BL 2024

Income: A.2

BL 2025

The Thirteenth Court of Appeals was created in 1963 by an amendment to Article 1822, V.T.C.S. pursuant to authority granted by Article V Section 1, Texas Constitution. This Court has intermediate appellate jurisdiction of civil and criminal cases appealed from lower courts in civil cases where judgments rendered exceeds \$100, exclusive of costs and other civil proceedings as provided by law; and in criminal cases except in post-conviction writs of habeas corpus and where the death penalty has been imposed. The Court has jurisdiction in twenty counties.

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

Court of Appeals are, by nature, small agencies with highly specialized staff. Courts of appeals have no discretion to decline appellate review of any case filed, and no control over the number of cases filed. The primary factor which drives this strategy is the need to attract and retain highly trained and knowledgeable staff to maintain the Court's ability to dispose of cases in as effective and efficient manner as possible in order to meet the Legislature's performance measures and the expectations of Texas citizens.

EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE (includes Rider amounts):

STRATEGY BIENNIA	<u>L TOTAL - ALL FUNDS</u>	BIENNIAL	EXPLA	NATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE
Base Spending (Est 2022 + Bud 2023)	Baseline Request (BL 2024 + BL 2025)	CHANGE	\$ Amount	Explanation(s) of Amount (must specify MOFs and FTEs)
\$4,221,225	\$4,221,225	\$0		
			02	Total of Explanation of Riennial Change

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

GOAL: 1 Appellate Court Operations

OBJECTIVE: 1 Appellate Court Operations Service Categories:

STRATEGY: 2 Appellate Justice Salaries. Estimated and Nontra	ansferable		Service: NA	Income: NA	Age: NA
CODE DESCRIPTION	Exp 2021	Est 2022	Bud 2023	BL 2024	BL 2025
Objects of Expense:					
1001 SALARIES AND WAGES	\$1,052,767	\$1,065,600	\$1,065,600	\$1,065,600	\$1,065,600
1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS	\$28,901	\$35,446	\$35,446	\$35,446	\$35,446
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE	\$1,081,668	\$1,101,046	\$1,101,046	\$1,101,046	\$1,101,046
Method of Financing:					
1 General Revenue Fund	\$898,768	\$918,146	\$918,146	\$918,146	\$918,146
SUBTOTAL, MOF (GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS)	\$898,768	\$918,146	\$918,146	\$918,146	\$918,146
Method of Financing:					
573 Judicial Fund	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$182,900
SUBTOTAL, MOF (OTHER FUNDS)	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$182,900	\$182,900
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS)				\$1,101,046	\$1,101,046
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS)	\$1,081,668	\$1,101,046	\$1,101,046	\$1,101,046	\$1,101,046
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

GOAL: 1 Appellate Court Operations

OBJECTIVE: 1 Appellate Court Operations Service Categories:

STRATEGY: 2 Appellate Justice Salaries. Estimated and Nontransferable

Service: NA Income: NA Age: NA

 CODE
 DESCRIPTION
 Exp 2021
 Est 2022
 Bud 2023
 BL 2024
 BL 2025

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

General Revenue funding is dedicated to Strategy A.1.2. (judicial salaries and other personnel costs for the elected Justices serving the Court).

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS IMPACTING STRATEGY:

Judicial salaries are set by statute.

EXPLANATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE (includes Rider amounts):

STRATEGY BIENNIAI	L TOTAL - ALL FUNDS	BIENNIAL	EXPLAN	NATION OF BIENNIAL CHANGE
Base Spending (Est 2022 + Bud 2023)	Baseline Request (BL 2024 + BL 2025)	CHANGE	\$ Amount	Explanation(s) of Amount (must specify MOFs and FTEs)
\$2,202,092	\$2,202,092	\$0		
			\$0	Total of Explanation of Biennial Change

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

SUMMARY TOTALS:					
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:	\$3,216,872	\$3,212,252	\$3,211,065	\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658
METHODS OF FINANCE (INCLUDING RIDERS):				\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658
METHODS OF FINANCE (EXCLUDING RIDERS):	\$3,216,872	\$3,212,252	\$3,211,065	\$3,211,659	\$3,211,658
FULL TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS:	28.9	28.7	32.0	29.0	29.0

3.B. Rider Revisions and Additions Request

Agency Code:	Agency Name:		Prepared By:	Date:	Request Level:
2XX	Court of Appe	als, District,	Kathy S. Mills	08/03/2022	Baseline
Current Rider	Page Number in 2022-2023				
Number	GAA		Proposed Rider La	nguage	
	0		110 0000 111101 201		

5 IV-38

Sec. 6. Interagency Contracts for Assigned Judges for Appellate Courts. Out of funds appropriated in this Article to Strategies A.1.1., Appellate Court Operations, the Supreme Court of Texas, the Court of Criminal Appeals, or any of the 14 Courts of Appeals may enter into a contract with the Office of the Comptroller for fiscal years 20222024 and 20232025, for the purpose of reimbursing the Comptroller for amounts expended for judges assigned under Chapter 74, Government Code to hear cases of the appellate courts. It is the intent of the Legislature that any amounts reimbursed under this contract for judges assigned to the appellate courts are in addition to amounts appropriated for the use of assigned judges in Strategy A.1.3. Visiting Judges – Appellate in the Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department.

Updating rider to adjust the years for the 2024-2025 biennium.

DATE:

TIME:

\$631,786

3.00

8/3/2022

3:46:03PM

\$631,786

3.00

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 233 Agency name: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg CODE DESCRIPTION Excp 2024 Excp 2025 Increase the general revenue limit to meet the updated budget model (similar funding for same-sized **Item Name:** courts) **Item Priority:** 1 **IT Component:** No **Anticipated Out-year Costs:** No **Involve Contracts > \$50,000:** No **Appellate Court Operations** Includes Funding for the Following Strategy or Strategies: 01-01-01 **OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** 583,771 1001 SALARIES AND WAGES 583,771 1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS 48,015 48,015 \$631,786 \$631,786 TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE **METHOD OF FINANCING:** General Revenue Fund 631,786 631,786

DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POSITIONS (FTE):

TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING

The updated budget model reflects increased funding to permit the courts to attract and retain experienced lawyers and support staff with the requisite knowledge and skills to assist the courts in meeting their performance measures and fulfilling the core function of timely processing and disposing of appeals. Without an increase in funding, appellate courts will lose talented personnel to higher-paying private jobs. This loss of key personnel will detrimentally effect performance standards, including (1) a reduction in overall dispositions of appeals, preventing the courts from clearing older cases and reaching the disposition target of 100% of new appeals filed in the biennium, and (2) an increase in the time from filing until disposition for those appeals which remain pending. Any unnecessary delay will be particularly devastating to the State by creating significant adverse consequences for the businesses, families, and children in Texas that are awaiting justice through the resolution of their disputes. Note, the updated budget model does not include an adjustment for the impact of inflation.

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS:

Prior to this LAR, the budget model had not been completely updated since 2005. Operating at this funding level has prevented the courts of appeals from increasing salaries to a level that will help the courts attract and retain the most qualified attorneys and staff. In FY 2021, the Judiciary had the third highest turnover rate in the state by General Appropriations Act Article at 14.5 percent. Loss of key personnel will effect performance standards thus preventing the courts from reaching the disposition target of 100% of new appeals filed in the biennium. It will further result in an increase in the time from filing until disposition for remaining appeals.

PCLS TRACKING KEY:

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

8/3/2022 3:46:03PM TIME:

DATE:

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

Agency code: 233 Agency name: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

CODE DESCRIPTION Excp 2024 Excp 2025

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

TIME: 3:46:03PM

DATE:

\$236,344

8/3/2022

\$236,344

Agency code: 233 Agency name: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg CODE DESCRIPTION Excp 2024 Excp 2025 Item Name: Joint request for Article IV, Judiciary-wide inflation relief **Item Priority:** 2 No **IT Component: Anticipated Out-year Costs:** No **Involve Contracts > \$50,000:** Includes Funding for the Following Strategy or Strategies: 01-01-01 Appellate Court Operations **OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:** 1001 SALARIES AND WAGES 218,384 218,384 1002 OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS 17,960 17,960 TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE \$236,344 \$236,344 METHOD OF FINANCING: General Revenue Fund 236,344 236,344

DESCRIPTION / JUSTIFICATION:

TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING

Attorneys and staff of the courts of appeals have been impacted in many ways by increasing costs due to inflation. The impact has been devastating for many because the State has not given them a meaningful raise in a significant period of time. Their buying power for goods and services has diminished and, at some courts, has resulted in attrition by those seeking higher-paying jobs. If salaries are not increased, the courts risk losing more personnel that will be costly to replace. Training takes time and will impact the ability of the courts to meet the current and expected demands as we navigate the post-pandemic surge.

On May 4, 2022, the Council of Chiefs submitted written testimony to the Senate Committee on Finance comprised of testimonials from court personnel describing personal experiences with rising costs. The Council asks for consideration of this testimony.

The courts of appeals join in the judiciary-wide inflation relief for non-judicial employees sought by Article IV courts and agencies. Respectfully, a ten percent (10%) increase on the budget model salaries is sought to help absorb the impact of inflation. To maintain the Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts model that has worked exceptionally well for years, the requested percentage must be based on the budget model salaries. This exceptional item is not duplicative of exceptional item 1, which does not include an adjustment for the inflation that is expected to persist for some time to come.

EXTERNAL/INTERNAL FACTORS:

Inflation is diminishing the buying power of attorneys and staff. Many are struggling to pay mortgages, rent and other items necessary for their livelihood. A ten percent (10%) increase on the budget model salaries is sought to offset the current impact of inflation, diminish the effect of future inflation, and to permit the courts of appeals to pay attorneys and staff competitive salaries that will help them in the event of a recession.

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: **8/3/2022** TIME: **3:46:03PM**

Agency code: 233 Agency name: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

CODE DESCRIPTION Excp 2024 Excp 2025

PCLS TRACKING KEY:

4.B. Exceptional Items Strategy Allocation Schedule

DATE: 8/3/2022

TIME: 3:46:04PM

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1

Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

233 Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg Agency code: Agency name:

Code Description			Excp 2024	Excp 2025
Item Name:	Increase the general	revenue limit to meet the updated	budget model (similar funding for same-sized	courts)
Allocation to Strategy:	1-1-1	Appellate Court Operations		
STRATEGY IMPACT ON OUTCOM	E MEASURES:			
1 Clearance Rate			100.00%	100.00%
2 Percentage of Ca	ases Under Submission for l	Less Than One Year	100.00%	100.00%
<u>3</u> Percentage of Ca	ases Pending for Less Than	Two Years	100.00%	100.00%
OUTPUT MEASURES:				
1 Number of Civil	Cases Disposed		30.00	30.00
<u>2</u> Number of Crim	inal Cases Disposed		30.00	30.00
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:				
1001 SALARI	IES AND WAGES		583,771	583,771
1002 OTHER	PERSONNEL COSTS		48,015	48,015
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXPENSE			\$631,786	\$631,786
METHOD OF FINANCING:				
1 General Re	evenue Fund		631,786	631,786
TOTAL, METHOD OF FINANCING			\$631,786	\$631,786
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT POSITION	ONS (FTE):		3.0	3.0

4.B. Exceptional Items Strategy Allocation Schedule

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: 8/3/2022

TIME: **3:46:04PM**

Agency code: 233	Agency name: Thir	teenth Court of Appeals District, Corpu	s Christi-Edinburg	
Code Description			Excp 2024	Excp 2025
Item Name:	Joint request for A	article IV, Judiciary-wide inflation relief		
Allocation to Strategy:	1-1-1	Appellate Court Operations		
OBJECTS OF EXPENSE:				
1001	SALARIES AND WAGES		218,384	218,384
1002	OTHER PERSONNEL COSTS		17,960	17,960
TOTAL, OBJECT OF EXI	PENSE		\$236,344	\$236,344
METHOD OF FINANCIN	G:			
1	General Revenue Fund	_	236,344	236,344
TOTAL, METHOD OF FI	NANCING		\$236,344	\$236,344

4.C. Exceptional Items Strategy Request

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) DATE: TIME: 8/3/2022 3:46:04PM

Agency Code:	233	Agency nam	e: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg	Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg				
GOAL:	1	Appellate Court Operations						
OBJECTIVE:	1	Appellate Court Operations	Service Categories:					
STRATEGY:	1	Appellate Court Operations	Service: 01 Income: A.2 Age	:: B.3				
CODE DESCRIP	TION		Ехер 2024	Excp 2025				
STRATEGY IMPA	ACT O	N OUTCOME MEASURES:						
1 Clearanc	e Rate		100.00 %	100.00 %				
2 Percenta	ge of C	Cases Under Submission for Less Than One Year	100.00 %	100.00 %				
<u>3</u> Percenta	ge of C	Cases Pending for Less Than Two Years	100.00 %	100.00 %				
OUTPUT MEASU	RES:							
1 Number	of Civi	il Cases Disposed	30.00	30.00				
2 Number of Criminal Cases Disposed			30.00	30.00				
OBJECTS OF EXI	PENSE	E:						
1001 SALARI	IES AN	ID WAGES	802,155	802,155				
1002 OTHER	PERSO	ONNEL COSTS	65,975	65,975				
Total, O	bjects	of Expense	\$868,130	\$868,130				
METHOD OF FIN	IANCI	NG:						
1 General	Revenu	ue Fund	868,130	868,130				
Total, M	lethod	of Finance	\$868,130	\$868,130				
FULL-TIME EQU	IVALI	ENT POSITIONS (FTE):	3.0	3.0				

EXCEPTIONAL ITEM(S) INCLUDED IN STRATEGY:

Increase the general revenue limit to meet the updated budget model (similar funding for same-sized courts)

Joint request for Article IV, Judiciary-wide inflation relief

6.A. Historically Underutilized Business Supporting Schedule

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Date:

Time:

8/3/2022

3:46:04PM

T-4-1

Agency Code: 233 Agency: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

COMPARISON TO STATEWIDE HUB PROCUREMENT GOALS

T-4-1

A. Fiscal Year - HUB Expenditure Information

						lotal					Total
Statewide	Procurement		HUB E	xpenditures	FY 2020	Expenditures		HUB Ex	penditures F	Y 2021	Expenditures
HUB Goals	Category	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	FY 2020	% Goal	% Actual	Diff	Actual \$	FY 2021
11.2%	Heavy Construction	11.2 %	0.0%	-11.2%	\$0	\$0	11.2 %	0.0%	-11.2%	\$0	\$0
21.1%	Building Construction	21.1 %	0.0%	-21.1%	\$0	\$0	21.1 %	0.0%	-21.1%	\$0	\$0
32.9%	Special Trade	32.9 %	0.0%	-32.9%	\$0	\$0	32.9 %	0.0%	-32.9%	\$0	\$0
23.7%	Professional Services	23.7 %	0.0%	-23.7%	\$0	\$0	23.7 %	0.0%	-23.7%	\$0	\$0
26.0%	Other Services	26.0 %	0.0%	-26.0%	\$0	\$18,304	0.0 %	19.9%	19.9%	\$4,880	\$24,575
21.1%	Commodities	21.1 %	0.0%	-21.1%	\$0	\$3,730	21.1 %	73.2%	52.1%	\$41,424	\$56,580
	Total Expenditures		0.0%		\$0	\$22,034		57.1%		\$46,304	\$81,155

B. Assessment of Attainment of HUB Procurement Goals

Attainment:

Due to the small size of the court and the nature of its function (judicial services), the court does not make a large amount of purchases during any given fiscal year, and most of the purchases made by the court are small spot purchases using time-efficient, noncompetitive procedures. Therefore, the opportunities for the court to make HUB purchases are limited.

Therefore, the Court did not reach any HUB procurement goals in FY2020, but it made vast improvements in FY2021. The Court attained, and well exceeded, the state-wide HUB procurement goal of 21.1% in the "commodities" category in FY2021. Additionally, the Court made a significant improvement towards the state-wide HUB procurement goal in the "other services" category in FY2021.

Applicability:

The "Heavy Construction," "Building Construction," and "Special Trade Construction" categories are not applicable to agency operations in either fiscal year 2020 or 2021 since the agency did not have any strategies or programs related to construction. The Court only had purchases in two of the six categories.

Factors Affecting Attainment:

Approximately 95% of the court's appropriations is expended on salaries and personnel costs. Sole-source purchases, including highly specialized legal publications purchased directly from the publishers, comprise a large portion of the court's remaining expenditures. Whenever possible and feasible, purchases are carried out through TXSmartBuy, WorkQuest, TCI, and other set-aside contractors. Additionally, the Office of Court Administration performs most of the computer-related purchases for the court.

6.A. Historically Underutilized Business Supporting Schedule

88th Regular Session, Agency Submission, Version 1 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST) Date:

Time:

8/3/2022

3:46:04PM

Agency Code: 233 Agency: Thirteenth Court of Appeals District, Corpus Christi-Edinburg

C. Good-Faith Efforts to Increase HUB Participation

Outreach Efforts and Mentor-Protégé Programs:

HUB Program Staffing:

Current and Future Good-Faith Efforts:

The Court makes good faith efforts to comply with Statewide HUB procurement goals when at all possible.

6.H. Estimated Total of All Agency Funds Outside the GAA Bill Pattern <u>Thirteenth Court of Appeals (233)</u>

ESTIMATED GRAND TOTAL OF AGENCY FUNDS OUTSIDE THE 2024-25 GAA BILL PATTERN	\$	65,000
--	----	--------

\$ 58,228
\$ 2,433
\$ 3,000
\$ 63,661
\$ 54,368
\$ 5,000
\$ 5,000
\$ 64,368
\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Constitutional or Statutory Creation and Use of Funds:

Fund Name

Pursuant to sections 22.201(n) and 22.2141 of the Texas Government Code. A fee is charged for each non-indigent civil suit filed in each county court, statutory court, probate court, or district court, except such fees that apply to a suit filed by a county or a suite for delinquent taxes. Funds received from such fees shall be used only for the purpose of assisting the Thirteenth Court of Appeals.

Method of Calculation and Revenue Assumptions:

The number of civil suits filed determines the actual revenue received. Additionally, the above annual revenue is reduced by the salary supplement and other payroll-related costs for each Justice. Additional overhead expenditures (operating costs) that exceed the amount of funds appropriated by the State, due to the underfunded State budget, also reduce the balance of this fund.

THIRTEENTH COURT OF APPEALS

Current Organizational Structure

