



1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

NO. _____

COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
V.	§	TAYLOR COUNTY, TEXAS
BARBARA D. PADGET	§	_____ JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DISCIPLINARY PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW Petitioner, the Commission for Lawyer Discipline, a committee of the State Bar of Texas (hereinafter called "Petitioner"), complaining of Respondent, Barbara D. Padget (hereinafter called "Respondent"), showing the Court:

I.

Petitioner brings this disciplinary action pursuant to the State Bar Act, Tex. Gov't. Code Ann. §81.001, et seq. (Vernon 1988), the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct and the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. The complaints which form the basis of the Disciplinary Petition were filed on or after May 1, 1992.

II.


Respondent is an attorney licensed to practice law in Texas and a member of the State Bar of Texas. Respondent is a resident of and has her principal place of practice in Taylor County, Texas. An officer may serve citation on Respondent at [REDACTED]


[REDACTED]

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

III.

On or about January 31, 1995, Jannace Marie Baker (hereinafter referred to as "Complainant") hired Respondent to handle a paternity matter involving Complainant's husband





1. 1. 1.

2. 2. 2.

3. 3. 3.

4. 4. 4.

5. 5. 5.

6. 6. 6.

7. 7. 7.

8. 8. 8.

9. 9. 9.

10. 10. 10.

11. 11. 11.

STATE BAR OF TEXAS



Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel

May 26, 1996

John T. Adams, Clerk
Supreme Court of Texas
P.O. Box 12248
Austin, Texas 78711

Re: Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Barbara D. Padget

Dear Mr. Adams:

Enclosed please find an original and two (2) copies of a Disciplinary Petition being filed by the Commission for Lawyer Discipline against Barbara D. Padget. Ms. Padget is a resident of Taylor County, Texas. Request is hereby made that the Court appoint an active District Judge who does not reside in the Administrative Judicial Region in which Respondent resides to preside in this case. Upon appointment, request is hereby made that you notify the Respondent at the address shown below and the undersigned of the identity and address of the judge assigned:

Barbara D. Padget
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

As a practical matter, I would respectfully suggest that you inquire with the judge to be appointed as to whether he or she will be able to comply with the 180 day deadline by which the case must be set for trial set forth in Section 3.07 of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. If not, I would respectfully request that an alternate appointment be made.

Once a trial judge has been appointed, please forward the original and two (2) copies of the Disciplinary Petition, the filing fee check, also enclosed herewith, and the Court's appointing order to the District Clerk of Taylor County, Texas, with the request that the suit be filed, service be obtained, and a filemarked copy of the petition be returned to the undersigned. Further, please provide the undersigned a copy of the Court's appointing order in the pre-addressed envelope enclosed.

201 Main St., Suite 1150, Fort Worth, Texas 76102, (817)877-4993 (Fax 335-4249)

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. This is essential for ensuring the integrity of the financial data and for providing a clear audit trail.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data. These methods include both qualitative and quantitative approaches, each with its own strengths and limitations.

3.

4. The third part of the document focuses on the challenges faced in data collection and analysis. These challenges often arise due to incomplete data, measurement errors, and changes in the underlying phenomena being studied.

5.

6. The fourth part of the document discusses the importance of transparency and reproducibility in research. This involves clearly documenting the methods used and making the data available for others to verify the results.

7.

8.

9. The fifth part of the document concludes by emphasizing the need for ongoing research and innovation in data collection and analysis. As new technologies and methods emerge, it is essential to stay current and adapt to the changing landscape of data science.

10.



THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

CHIEF JUSTICE
THOMAS R. PHILLIPS

POST OFFICE BOX 12248 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

CLERK
JOHN T. ADAMS

JUSTICES
RAUL A. GONZALEZ
NATHAN L. HECHT
JOHN CORNYN
CRAIG ENOCH
ROSE SPECTOR
PRISCILLA R. OWEN
JAMES A. BAKER
GREG ABBOTT

TEL: (512) 463-1312
FAX: (512) 463-1365

EXECUTIVE ASS'T
WILLIAM L. WILLIS
ADMINISTRATIVE ASS'T
NADINE SCHNEIDER

July 3, 1996

Mr. James M. McCormack
General Counsel, State Bar of Texas
P.O. Box 12487
Austin, Texas 78711

Ms. Barbara D. Padget
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Mr. McCormack and Ms. Padget:

Pursuant to Rule 3.02 of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, I hereby notify you that the Supreme Court of Texas has appointed the Honorable Tom W. Crum, Judge of the 355th District Court, Granbury, Texas to preside in

Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Barbara D. Padget

Sincerely,

SIGNED

John T. Adams
Clerk

