Affirmed and Opinion filed February 17, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00684-CR

LORENZO A. CRIADO, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 185th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 789,320

ΟΡΙΝΙΟΝ

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of injury to a child, enhanced with a previous felony conviction. After a plea of not guilty, a jury found appellant guilty as charged. Upon a plea of true to the enhancement paragraph, the jury found the enhancement paragraph true, and assessed punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for seventy-five years and assessed a fine of ten thousand dollars.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The

brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, appellant has not responded.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed February 17, 2000. Panel consists of Justices Amidei, Anderson, and Frost. Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).