In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NOS. 14-00-00491-CR & 14-00-00492-CR

JORGE ALVARADO, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 176th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause Nos. 824,668 and 822,314

OPINION

Appellant entered pleas of guilty to the felony offenses of aggravated assault and burglary of a habitation. Pursuant to a plea bargain agreement, the court assessed punishment in each case at confinement for six years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, to run concurrently.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in each case in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The briefs meet the requirements of *Anders v*.

California, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

Copies of counsel's briefs were delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the records and counsel's briefs and agree that the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in either record. A discussion of the briefs would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court in each case is affirmed and the motions to withdraw are granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed March 22, 2001. Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler and Wittig. Do Not Publish — Tex. R. App. P. 47.3(b).