

## In The

## Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00946-CR

**RAUL ZARAZUA, Appellant** 

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 209<sup>th</sup> District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 766,640

## **OPINION**

Appellant was charged by a two paragraph indictment with the felony offense of sexual assault of a child. After the State abandoned the second paragraph of the indictment, appellant entered a plea of guilty to the first paragraph. In accordance with a plea bargain agreement, the court assessed punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Corrections for seven years.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d

493 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable points of error to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, appellant has not responded.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

## PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed April 20, 2000.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Murphy and Justices Hudson and Wittig.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).