

Affirmed and Opinion filed July 19, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

**NOS. 14-01-00123-CR &
14-01-00124-CR**

DUCKIE RENORRIE SHERFIELD, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 179th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 844,384 & 847,908**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant entered a plea of guilty to two counts of burglary of a habitation. On December 6, 2000, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for thirty years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice on each count, sentences to run concurrently. Appellant filed a pro se notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation

of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed July 19,2001.

Panel consists of Justices Anderson, Hudson, and Seymore.

Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).