

Dismissed and Opinion filed October 18, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-01-00868-CR

NO. 14-01-00869-CR

CLIFTON JAMALL BUSH, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 262nd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 877,430 & 877,431**

OPINION

Appellant pled guilty to the offenses of aggravated robbery with a finding of a deadly weapon and possession of a firearm. In accordance with the terms of plea bargain agreements with the State, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for ten years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice on May 18, 2001. Because we have no jurisdiction over these appeals, we dismiss.

Appellant filed a timely general notice of appeal that did not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R.

APP. P. 25.2(b)(3). Rule 25.2(b)(3) provides that when an appeal is from a judgment rendered on a defendant's plea of guilty or nolo contendere and the punishment assessed does not exceed the punishment recommended by the State and agreed to by the defendant, the notice of appeal must: (1) specify that the appeal is for a jurisdictional defect; (2) specify that the substance of the appeal was raised by written motion and ruled on before trial; or (3) state that the trial court granted permission to appeal. *Id.* Because the time for filing a proper notice of appeal has expired, appellant may not file an amended notice of appeal to correct jurisdictional defects. *State v. Riewe*, 13 S.W.3d 408, 413-14 (Tex. Crim. App. 2000). Because appellant's notice of appeal did not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3), we are without jurisdiction to consider any of appellant's issues, including the voluntariness of the plea. *See Cooper v. State*, 45 S.W.2d 77, 83 (Tex. Crim. App. 2001) (holding that appellant who files general notice of appeal may not appeal voluntariness of negotiated plea).

Accordingly, we dismiss the appeals for want of jurisdiction.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 18, 2001.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Brister, Justices Fowler and Seymore.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).