IN THE 363RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

THE STATE OF TEXAS

VS.

CAUSE NO. F06-89332-W

LAVELLE D. EVANS

CHARGE OF THE COURT

MEMBERS OF THE JURY:

The defendant, Lavelle D. Evans, stands charged by indictment with the offense of capital murder, alleged to have been committed on or about the 8th day of October, 2006, in Dallas County, Texas.

To this charge the defendant has pleaded not guilty.

You are instructed that the law applicable to this case is as follows:

Our law provides that a person commits the offense of murder when he intentionally or knowingly causes the death of an individual.

A person commits the offense of capital murder when the person intentionally causes the death of an individual as defined above during the course of committing or attempting to commit the offense of obstruction or retaliation.

The offenses of obstruction or retaliation are felony offenses.

A person commits the offense of obstruction or retaliation if he intentionally or knowingly harms or

threatens to harm another by an unlawful act:

- 1) in retaliation for or on account of the service or status of another as a:
 - A) witness, prospective witness, or informant; or
- B) person who has reported or who the actor knows intends to report the occurrence of a crime, or
 - 2) to prevent or delay the service of another as a:
 - A) witness, prospective witness, or informant, or
- B) person who has reported or who the actor knows intends to report the occurrence of a crime.

"Attempt" to commit an offense occurs if, with specific intent to commit an offense, a person does an act amounting to more than mere preparation that tends, but fails, to effect the commission of the offense intended.

"Prospective Witness" means any person involved in an offense with the defendant, who sees the defendant committing an offense, or who hears the defendant discuss committing an offense.

"Deadly weapon" means a firearm or anything manifestly designed, made, or adapted for the purpose of inflicting death or serious bodily injury or anything that in the manner of its use or intended use is capable of causing death or serious bodily injury.

"Individual" means a human being who is alive, including an unborn child at every stage of gestation from

fertilization until birth.

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to the result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to cause the result.

A person acts knowingly, or with knowledge, with respect to a result of his conduct when he is aware that his conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result.

You are instructed that if there is any testimony before you in this case regarding the defendant's having committed offenses other than the offense alleged against him in the indictment in this case, you cannot consider said testimony for any purpose unless you find and believe beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed such other offenses, if any were committed, and even then you may only consider the same in determining the intent or motive or plan of the defendant, if any, in connection with the offense, if any, alleged against him in the indictment in this case, and for no other purpose.

Our law provides that a defendant may testify in his own behalf if he elects to do so. This, however, is a privilege accorded a defendant; and, in the event he elects not to testify, that fact cannot be taken as a circumstance against him.

In this case, the defendant has elected not to testify; and you are instructed that you cannot and must not

refer or allude to that fact throughout your deliberations or take it into consideration for any purpose whatsoever as a circumstance against him.

A person is criminally responsible as a party to an offense if the offense is committed by his own conduct, by the conduct of another for which he is criminally responsible, or by both.

A person is criminally responsible for an offense committed by the conduct of another if acting with intent to promote or assist the commission of the offense, he solicits, encourages, directs, aids, or attempts to aid the other person to commit the offense.

Each party to an offense may be charged with the commission of the offense. Mere presence alone at the time and the place of the commission of an offense, if any was committed, does not constitute one criminally responsible as a party to the offense. Or, a person is criminally responsible for an offense committed by the conduct of another if, in the attempt to carry out a conspiracy to commit one felony, another felony is committed by one of the conspirators, then all conspirators are guilty of the felony actually committed, though have no intent to commit it, if the offense was committed in furtherance of the unlawful purpose and was one that should have been anticipated as a result of the carrying out of the conspiracy.

Now, bearing in mind the foregoing instructions, if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 8th day of October, 2006, in Dallas County,

Texas, as alleged in the indictment, the defendant, Lavelle

D. Evans, either acting alone or as a party, did then and there intentionally cause the death of Crystal Jenkins, an individual, hereinafter called deceased, by shooting deceased with a firearm, a deadly weapon, and the defendant, either acting alone or as a party, was then and there in the course of committing or attempting to commit the offense of obstruction or retaliation of said deceased, then you will find the defendant guilty of capital murder and so say by your verdict.

If you do not so find beyond a reasonable doubt, or if you have a reasonable doubt thereof, you shall acquit the defendant of capital murder and next consider whether the defendant is guilty of the lesser included offense of murder.

Our law provides that a person commits the offense of murder if he intentionally or knowingly causes the death of an individual.

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to the result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to cause the result.

A person acts knowingly, or with knowledge, with respect to a result of his conduct when he is aware that his

conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result.

Now, if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 8th day of October, 2006, in Dallas County, Texas, the defendant, Lavelle D. Evans, or another, either acting alone or as a party, did intentionally cause the death of an individual, Crystal Jenkins, by shooting the said Crystal Jenkins with a firearm, a deadly weapon, but you have a reasonable doubt as to whether the defendant was then and there engaged in the commission or attempted commission of obstruction or retaliation of Crystal Jenkins at the time of the shooting, if any, then you will find the defendant guilty of the lesser included offense of murder;

-or-

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 8th day of October, 2006, in Dallas County, Texas, the defendant, Lavelle D. Evans, either acting alone or as a party, did knowingly cause the death of Crystal Jenkins by shooting the said Crystal Jenkins with a firearm, a deadly weapon, but you have a reasonable doubt as to whether the defendant, or another, either acting alone or as a party, intentionally killed Crystal Jenkins, as the term intentionally has been defined herein, then you will find the defendant guilty of murder, but not capital murder, regardless of whether you find from the evidence beyond a

reasonable doubt that the defendant was then and there in the course of committing or attempting to commit the offense of obstruction or retaliation of Crystal Jenkins.

Unless you so find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt or you have a reasonable doubt thereof, you shall acquit the defendant.

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of either capital murder or murder, but you have a reasonable doubt as to which offense he is guilty, then you must resolve that doubt in the defendant's favor and find him guilty of the lesser offense of murder.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to whether defendant is guilty of any offense defined in this charge, then you should acquit the defendant and say by your verdict, "Not Guilty."

In all criminal cases the burden of proof is on the State.

At times throughout the trial the Court has been called upon to pass on the question of whether or not certain offered evidence might properly be admitted. You are not to be concerned with the reasons for such rulings and are not to draw any inferences from them. Whether offered evidence is admissible is purely a question of law. In admitting evidence to which an objection is made, the Court does not

determine what weight should be given such evidence; nor does it pass on the credibility of the witness. As to any offer of evidence that has been rejected by the Court, you, of course, must not consider the same. As to any question to which an objection was sustained, you must not conjecture as to what the answer might have been or as to the reason for the objection.

You are instructed that you are not to allow yourselves to be influenced in any degree whatsoever by what you may think or surmise the opinion of the Court to be. The Court has no right by any word or any act to indicate any opinion respecting any matter of fact involved in this case, nor to indicate any desire respecting its outcome. The Court has not intended to express any opinion upon any matter of fact in this case, and if you have observed anything which you have or may interpret as the Court's opinion upon any matter of fact in this case, you must wholly disregard it.

All persons are presumed to be innocent and no person may be convicted of an offense unless each element of the offense is proved beyond a reasonable doubt. The fact that a person has been arrested, confined, or indicted for, or otherwise charged with, the offense gives rise to no inference of guilt at his trial. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to

acquit the defendant, unless the jurors are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case.

the second

The prosecution has the burden of proving the defendant guilty and it must do so by proving each and every element of the offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant.

It is not required that the prosecution prove guilt beyond all possible doubt; it is required that the prosecution's proof excludes all "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt.

In the event you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt after considering all the evidence before you, and these instructions, you will acquit him and say by your verdict "Not guilty".

You are the exclusive judges of the facts proved, of the credibility of the witnesses and of the weight to be given to the testimony, but you are bound to receive the law from the Court, which is herein given you, and be governed thereby.

After you retire to the jury room, you will select one of your members as your presiding juror. It is the presiding juror's duty to preside at your deliberations, vote with you, and when you have unanimously agreed upon a verdict, to certify to your verdict by using the appropriate form

attached hereto, and signing the same as presiding juror.

After you retire to consider your verdict, no one has any authority to communicate with you except the officer who has you in charge. During your deliberations in this case, you must neither consider, discuss, nor relate any matters not in evidence before you. You should neither consider nor mention any personal knowledge or information you may have about any fact or person connected with this case which is not shown by the evidence.

After you have retired, you may communicate with this Court in writing through the bailiff who has you in charge. Your written communication must be signed by the presiding juror. Do not attempt to talk to the bailiff, the attorneys, or the Court regarding any question you may have concerning the trial of the case. After you have reached a unanimous verdict or if you desire to communicate with the Court, please use the jury call button on the wall and one of the bailiffs will respond.

2008 AUG 28 AM 8: 51

GARAGE AM 8: 51

JUDGE TRACY/F. HOLMES
363rd Judicial District Court

Dallas County, Texas

VERDICT FORMS

We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lavelle D. Evans, guilty of capital murder, as charged in the Indictment.

Presiding Juror
PRINTED NAME: MICHAU C COYLE

-OR-

We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lavelle D. Evans, quilty of murder, as included in the Indictment.

Presiding Juror
PRINTED NAME:

-OR-

We, the jury, find the Defendant, Lavelle D. Evans, "Not Guilty."

Presiding Juror
PRINTED NAME: