## CAUSE NO. 1171238

THE STATE OF TEXAS

§ IN THE 184TH DISTRICT COURT

VS.

§ OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CHRISTOPHER EUGENE JOHNSON

§ FEBRUARY TERM, A. D., 2011

## Members of the Jury:

The defendant, Christopher Eugene Johnson, stands charged by indictment with the offense of capital murder, alleged to have been committed on or about the 15th day of June, 2008, in Harris County, Texas. The defendant has pleaded not guilty.

A person commits the offense of murder if he intentionally or knowingly causes the death of an individual.

A person commits the offense of capital murder if he intentionally commits murder, as hereinbefore defined, in the course of committing or attempting to commit the offense of burglary of a habitation. Burglary of a habitation is a felony.

"In the course of committing" means conduct that occurs in an attempt to commit, during the commission, or in the immediate flight after the attempt or commission of an offense.

"Attempt" to commit an offense occurs if, with specific intent to commit an offense, a person does an act amounting to more than mere preparation that tends, but fails, to effect the commission of the offense intended.

A person commits the offense of burglary of a habitation if, without the effective consent of the owner, he:

- (1) enters a habitation with intent to commit a felony, theft, or an assault; or
- (2) enters a habitation and commits or attempts to commit a felony, theft, or an assault.

"Theft" means the unlawful appropriation of property with intent to deprive the owner of property. Appropriation of property is unlawful if it is without the owner's effective consent.

"Enter" means to intrude any part of the body or any physical object connected with the body.

"Habitation" means a structure or vehicle that is adapted for the overnight accommodation of persons, and includes: (a) each separately secured or occupied portion of the structure or vehicle and (b) each structure appurtenant to or connected with the structure or vehicle.

"Effective consent" means assent in fact, whether express or apparent, and includes consent by a person legally authorized to act for the owner. Consent is not effective if induced by deception or coercion.

"Owner" means a person who has title to the property, possession of property, or a greater right to possession of the property than the defendant.

"Deadly weapon" means anything manifestly designed, made, or adapted for the purpose of inflicting death or serious bodily injury; or anything that in the manner of its use or intended use is capable of causing death or serious bodily injury.

"Bodily injury" means physical pain, illness, or any impairment of physical condition.

"Serious bodily injury" means a bodily injury that creates a substantial risk of death or that causes death, serious permanent disfigurement, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of any bodily member or organ.

The definition of intentionally relative to the offense of capital murder is as follows:

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to cause the result.

The definitions of intentionally and knowingly relative to the offense of murder are as follow:

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to cause the result.

A person acts knowingly, or with knowledge, with respect to a result of his conduct when he is aware that his conduct is reasonably certain to cause the result.

The definition of intentionally relative to the offense of burglary of a habitation is as follows:

A person acts intentionally, or with intent, with respect to the nature of his conduct or to a result of his conduct when it is his conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct or cause the result.

Before you would be warranted in finding the defendant guilty of capital murder, you must find from the evidence beyond a

reasonable doubt not only that on the occasion in question the defendant was in the course of committing or attempting to commit the felony offense of burglary of a habitation owned by Carvillia Evans, as alleged in this charge, but also that the defendant specifically intended to cause the death of Carvillia Evans, by stabbing Carvillia Evans, with a deadly weapon, namely a knife; or

You must find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt not only that on the occasion in question the defendant was in the course of committing or attempting to commit the felony offense of burglary of a habitation owned by Carvillia Evans, as alleged in this charge, but also that the defendant specifically intended to cause the death of Carvillia Evans, by stabbing Carvillia Evans, with a deadly weapon, namely an unknown cutting instrument, and unless you so find, then you cannot convict the defendant of the offense of capital murder.

Now, if you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 15th day of June, 2008, in Harris County, Texas, the defendant, Christopher Eugene Johnson, did then and there unlawfully, while in the course of committing or attempting to commit the burglary of a habitation owned by Carvillia Evans, intentionally cause the death of Carvillia Evans, by stabbing Carvillia Evans with a deadly weapon, namely a knife; or

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the 15th day of June, 2008, in Harris County, Texas, the defendant, Christopher Eugene Johnson, did then and there unlawfully, while in the course of committing or attempting to

commit the burglary of a habitation owned by Carvillia Evans, intentionally cause the death of Carvillia Evans, by stabbing Carvillia Evans with a deadly weapon, namely an unknown cutting instrument, then you will find the defendant guilty of capital murder, as charged in the indictment.

Unless you so find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, or if you have a reasonable doubt thereof, you will acquit the defendant and say by your verdict "Not Guilty."

A defendant in a criminal case is not bound by law to testify in his own behalf therein and the failure of any defendant to so testify shall not be taken as a circumstance against him nor shall the same be alluded to nor commented upon by the jury, and you must not refer to, mention, comment upon or discuss the failure of the defendant to testify in this case. If any juror starts to mention the defendant's failure to testify in this case then it is the duty of the other jurors to stop him or her at once.

You are further instructed that any evidence that any witness has been convicted in any case or cases was admitted before you for the purpose of aiding you, if it does aid you, in passing upon the credibility of the witness and the weight to be given his or her testimony, and you will not consider the same for any other purpose.

You are further instructed that if there is any evidence before you in this case regarding the defendant's committing an alleged offense or offenses other than the offense alleged against him in the indictment in this case, you cannot consider such evidence for any purpose unless you find and believe beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed such other offense or offenses, if any, and even then you may only consider the same in determining the motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident of the defendant, if any, in connection with the offense, if any, alleged against him in the indictment and for no other purpose.

Voluntary intoxication does not constitute a defense to the commission of a crime. "Intoxication" means disturbance of mental or physical capacity resulting from the introduction of any substance into the body.

A Grand Jury indictment is the means whereby a defendant is brought to trial in a felony prosecution. It is not evidence of guilt nor can it be considered by you in passing upon the question of guilt of the defendant. The burden of proof in all criminal cases rests upon the State throughout the trial and never shifts to the defendant.

All persons are presumed to be innocent and no person may be convicted of an offense unless each element of the offense is proved beyond a reasonable doubt. The fact that he has been arrested, confined, or indicted for, or otherwise charged with the offense gives rise to no inference of guilt at his trial. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to acquit the defendant, unless the jurors are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case.

The prosecution has the burden of proving the defendant guilty and it must do so by proving each and every element of the offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant.

It is not required that the prosecution prove guilt beyond all possible doubt; it is required that the prosecution's proof excludes all reasonable doubt concerning the defendant's guilt.

In the event you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt after considering all the evidence before you,

and these instructions, you will acquit him and say by your verdict "Not Guilty."

You are the exclusive judges of the facts proved, of the credibility of the witnesses and the weight to be given their testimony, but the law you shall receive in these written instructions, and you must be governed thereby.

After you retire to the jury room, you should select one of your members as your Foreperson. It is his or her duty to preside at your deliberations, vote with you, and when you have unanimously agreed upon a verdict, to certify to your verdict by using the appropriate form attached hereto and signing the same as Foreperson.

During your deliberations in this case, you must not consider, discuss, nor relate any matters not in evidence before you. You should not consider nor mention any personal knowledge or information you may have about any fact or person connected with this case which is not shown by the evidence.

No one has any authority to communicate with you except the officer who has you in charge. After you have retired, you may communicate with this Court in writing through this officer. Any communication relative to the cause must be written, prepared and signed by the Foreperson and shall be submitted to the court through this officer. Do not attempt to talk to the officer who has you in charge, or the attorneys, or the Court, or anyone else concerning any questions you may have.

Your sole duty at this time is to determine the guilt or innocence of the defendant under the indictment in this cause and

restrict your deliberations solely to the issue of guilt or innocence of the defendant.

Following the arguments of counsel, you will retire to consider your verdict.

Jan Krocker, Judge 184th District Court Hartis County, TEXAS



Krocker

Chris Daniel District Clerk

Time:	
Ву	Harris County, Texas
	Deputy

## CAUSE NO. 1171238

THE STATE OF TEXAS

§ IN THE 184TH DISTRICT COURT

VS.

§ OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

CHRISTOPHER EUGENE JOHNSON

§ FEBRUARY TERM, A. D., 2011

## VERDICT

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Christopher Eugene Johnson, not guilty."

Foreperson of the Jury

(Please Print) Foreperson

"We, the Jury, find the defendant, Christopher Eugene Johnson, guilty of capital murder, as charged in the indictment."

Foreperson of the Jury

THOMAS N. BURDETTE

(Please Print) Foreperson

FILED

Chris Daniel District Clerk

MAR 04 2011

Time: 9:50 AM

By