CASE NO. 1023943D

THE STATE OF TEXAS § IN THE 371ST DISTRICT

VS. § COURT OF

TONY LANE GREGORY § TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT OF GUILTY PUNISHMENT FIXED BY COURT OR JURY - NO PROBATION GRANTED

udge Presiding	HON MOLLBE WESTFALL	Date of Judgment : NOVEMBER 9, 2007
Attorney for State District Attorney	TIM CURRY	Assistant District Autorney GREGORY T. MILLER SHERRY WHELCHEL
Attorney for Defendant	WES BALL	Charging Instrument. INDICTMENT
Offense Dato	Convicted Offense	
JULY 5, 2000	CAPITAL MURDER	
Degree	Count	Plea
CAPITAL	ONE	NOT GUILTY
Findings on Deadly Weapon	: NONE	
Plea to Enhancement Paragraph(s)	: NONB	
Pica to Habitual Paragraph(s)	: HABITUAL OFFENDER NOTICE - WAIVED	
Findings on Enhancement/ Habitual Paragraph(s)	: NONE	•
Jury Verdice	QUILTY	
Punishment Assessed By	: COURT	
Date Sentence Imposed	NOVEMBER 9, 2007	Date to Commence ! NOVEMBER 9. 2007
Place of Confinement	COUNT ONE - LIFE INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION OF THE TRXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE	

Time Credited	: 513 DAYS	Court. Costs : \$272.25
Reparation	NONE	Restitution : NONE
Multiple Sentences	SENTENCE RENDERED IN	CAUSE SHALL BEGIN WHEN THE JUDGMENT AND N 297TH DISTRICT COURT, IN TARRANT, TEXAS IN R, FOR AGGRAVATED SEXUAL ASSAULT - DEADLY NCB IN THE CASE CONSISTS OF 40 YEARS CONFINEMENT.

On this day, set forth above, this cause came for trial, and the State appeared by the above-named autorney, and the Defendant appeared in person in open court, the above-named counsel for Defendant also being present, or, where a Defendant is not represented by counsel, that the Defendant knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived the right to representation by counsel; and the said Defendant having been duly arraigned and it appearing to the Court that Defendant was mentally competent, and the said Defendant baving been duly arraigned and it appearing to the Court that Defendant was mentally competent, and having pleaded as shown above to the indictment herein, both parties announced ready for trial and thereupon a jury, to-will, the above named foreman and eleven others, was duly selected, impaneled and aworn, who having beard the indictment read and the above named foreman and eleven others, was duly selected, impaneled and aworn, who having beard the indictment read and the above named foreman and eleven others, was duly selected, impaneled and aworn, who having beard by the Court, retired in charge of Defendant's plea thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired in charge of Defendant's plea thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired in charge of Defendant's plea thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired in charge of Defendant's plea thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted.

TRANS NO. 4

Defendant's counsel being present, and returned into open court the verdict set forth above, which was received by the Court, and is

Defendant's counsel boing present, and returned into open court the vertice set forth above, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the minutes of the Court as shown above.

Thereupon, the Defendant elected to have punishment assessed by the above shown assessor of punishment, and when shown above that the indictment contains enhancement paragraph(s), which were not waived, and alloges Defendant to have been convicted previously of any felony or offenses for the purpose of enhancement of punishment, then the Court asked Defendant is such allegations were true or false and Defendant miswered as shown above. And when Defendant is shown above to have elected to have the jury assess punishment, such jury was called back into the box and heard evidence relative to the question of punishment and having been duly charged by the Court, they retired to consider such question, and after having deliberated, they returned into Court the verdict shown under punishment above; and when Defendant is shown above to have elected to have punishment fixed by the Court, due form of law further evidence was heard by the Court relative to the question of punishment and the Court fixed the number of the Defendant as shown above.

punishment of the Defendant as shown above.

It is Therefore Considered and confirmed, and that the Defendant is adjudged guilty of the clientes set forth above as found by the verdict of the jury, as set forth above, and and Defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense set forth above, as found by the verdict of the jury, as set forth above, and said Defendant be punished in accordance with the Jury Verdict or the Court's Finding, as shown above and that the Defendant is entenced to a term of imprisonment or fine or both, as set forth above, and that said Defendant be delivered by the Sheriff to the Director of the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, or other person legally authorized to receive such convicts for the punishment assessed herein, and the said Defendant shall be confined for the above named term in accordance with the provisions of law governing such punishments and execution may issue as necessary.

execution may issue as necessary.

And, if shown above that the Defendant has been duly and legally convicted of a prior offense by showing the court, cause number, and offense, together with the punishment for such offense and date Defendant was sentenced for such offense in accordance with such conviction, then it is forther ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the punishment herein adjudged against said Defendant shall begin when the judgment in such prior offense, when shown above, shall have ceased to operate.

And the said Defendant is remanded to jail until said Sheriff can obey the direction of this judgment.

Date Signed

Notice of Appeal

NOVEMBER 9, 2007

Mandate Received