

## OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION

## CASE NO. 1023944D

THE STATE OF TEXAS

δ

IN THE 372ND DISTRICT

VS.

δ

COURT OF

ROGER EUGENE FAIN AKA:

ROGER EUGENE FAIN JR

§

TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

## JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT OF GUILTY PUNISHMENT FIXED BY COURT OR JURY - NO PROBATION GRANTED

Judge Presiding

: HON. SCOTT WISCH

Date of Judgment

: DECEMBER 19, 2007

Attorney for State

District Attorney

TIM CURRY

Assistant District Attorney

Charging Instrument:

GREGORY T. MILLER

**ALANA MINTON** 

Attorney for Defendant

J. WARREN ST. JOHN WES BALL

**INDICTMENT** 

Offense Date

Convicted Offense

JUNE 1, 1987

CAPITAL MURDER

Degree

Count

Plea

**CAPITAL** 

ONE

**NOT GUILTY** 

Findings on

Deadly Weapon

· NONE

Plea to Enhancement

Paragraph(s)

NONE

Plea to Habitual Paragraph(s)

: NONE

Findings on Enhancement/

Habitual Paragraph(s)

NONE

Jury Verdict

**GUILTY COURT** 

Punishment Assessed By Date Sentence Imposed

**DECEMBER 19, 2007** 

Date to Commence:

**DECEMBER 19, 2007** 

Punishment Place of Confinement

**COUNT ONE - LIFE** 

INSTITUTIONAL DIVISION OF THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Time Credited

560 DAYS

Court Costs

: \$122.00

Reparation

NONE

Restitution

: NONE

Multiple Sentences

APPOINTED ATTORNEY FEES/COSTS/EXPENSES (TO BE ASSESSED AT A LATER DATE) ARE ASSESSED AS COURT COSTS PER ART. 26.05(g) T.C.C.P AND TO BE PAID TO AND THROUGH THE DISTRICT CLERK OF TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS AS REQUIRED BY LAW. TO BE SERVED CONSECUTIVELY

\* SENTENCE IN THIS CAUSE SHALL BEGIN WHEN THE JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE RENDERED IN 277TH DISTRICT COURT, IN WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS IN CAUSE NUMBER 95-112-K277, SHALL HAVE CEASED TO OPERATE

On this day, set forth above, this cause came for trial, and the State appeared by the above-named attorney, and the Defendant appeared in person in open court, the above-named counsel for Defendant also being present, or, where a Defendant is not represented by counsel, that the Defendant knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived the right to representation by

TRANS NO. 6

counsel; and the said Defendant having been duly arraigned and it appearing to the Court that Defendant was mentally competent, and having pleaded as shown above to the indictment herein, both parties announced ready for trial and thereupon a jury, to-wit, the above named foreman and eleven others, was duly selected, impaneled and sworn, who having heard the indictment read and the Defendant's plea thereto, and having heard the evidence submitted, and having been duly charged by the Court, retired in charge of the proper officer to consider the verdict, and afterward were brought into Court by the proper officer, the Defendant and Defendant's counsel being present, and returned into open court the verdict set forth above, which was received by the Court, and is here now entered upon the minutes of the Court as shown above.

here now entered upon the minutes of the Court as shown above.

Thereupon, the Defendant elected to have punishment assessed by the above shown assessor of punishment, and when shown above that the indictment contains enhancement paragraph(s), which were not waived, and alleges Defendant to have been convicted previously of any felony or offenses for the purpose of enhancement of punishment, then the Court asked Defendant if such allegations were true or false and Defendant answered as shown above. And when Defendant is shown above to have elected to have the jury assess punishment, such jury was called back into the box and heard evidence relative to the question of punishment and having been duly charged by the Court, they retired to consider such question, and after having deliberated, they returned into Court the verdict shown under punishment above; and when Defendant is shown above to have elected to have punishment fixed by the Court, in due form of law further evidence was heard by the Court relative to the question of punishment and the Court fixed the

punishment of the Defendant as shown above.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED AND ORDERED by the Court, in the presence of the Defendant, that the said judgment be, and the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed, and that the Defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense judgment be, and the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed, and that the Defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense judgment be, and the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed, and that the Defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense judgment be, and the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed, and that the Defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense judgment be, and the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed, and that the Defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense judgment be, and the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed, and that the Defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense judgment be, and the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed, and that the Defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense judgment be, and the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed, and the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed are same as the same is hereby in all things approved and confirmed are same as the same as t set forth above as found by the verdict of the jury, as set forth above, and said Defendant be punished in accordance with the Jury Verdict or the Court's Finding, as shown above and that the Defendant is sentenced to a term of imprisonment or fine or both, as set forth above, and that said Defendant be delivered by the Sheriff to the Director of the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, or other person legally authorized to receive such convicts for the punishment assessed herein, and the said Defendant shall be confined for the above named term in accordance with the provisions of law governing such punishments and

execution may issue as necessary

And, if shown above that the Defendant has been duly and legally convicted of a prior offense by showing the court, cause number, and offense, together with the punishment for such offense and date Defendant was sentenced for such offense in accordance with such conviction, then it is further ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the punishment herein adjudged against said Defendant shall begin when the judgment in such prior offense, when shown above, shall have ceased to operate.

And the said Defendant is remanded to jail until said Sheriff can obey the direction of this judgment.

Date Signed

: DECEMBER 19, 2007

Notice of Appeal

**DECEMBER 19, 2007** 

Mandate Received