SUPREME COURT Statistics-- 1969

I. REGULAR CAUSES1

On Docket Within the Year

Carried over from 1968 (Pending under submission	28	
(Pending set for submission	12	
By granted applications for writs of error	88	
By granted motions for leave to file petitions for		
writs of mandamus	4	
By granted petitions for writs of habeas corpus	2	
By direct appeals filed	6	
Total	140	140

Dispositions

(a)	Upon Writ of Error to Courts of Civil Appeals:	
	Judgment (or judgments) of the Court of Civil	
	Appeals affirmed, or reformed or modified and	
	affirmed	23
	Judgment of the Court of Civil Appeals reversed	
	(or in part) and judgment of trial court	
	affirmed (or modified and affirmed)	21
	Judgment of Court of Civil Appeals reversed (or	
	in part) and cause remanded to trial court	20
	Judgment of Court of Civil Appeals reversed (or	
	in part) and cause remanded to that court	3
	Judgment of Court of Civil Appeals reversed (or	
	in part) and judgment rendered	18

1 Cases in which oral argument of counsel in open court is had (unless waived) and in which the Court's decision is almost invariably reflected in a written opinion. The bulk of these "Regular Causes" (as evidenced by the five items under subtitle (a) above) consists of those, in which, prior to the oral argument and decision, the case is reviewed in conference by the Supreme Court upon applications for writ of error. with three or more of the Justices voting that the decision of the Court of Civil Appeals was erroneous and that the writ should accordingly be granted. A somewhat similar procedure is followed regarding petitions for original mandamus and habeas corpus, which only become "Regular Causes" following review and vote in conference. The foregoing should be kept in mind in reading the statistics of "Regular Causes" and those concerning other cases or proceedings had or disposed of by the Court. In the latter class fall actions such as the Court's rejection in conference of a particular application for writ of error or application to file a petition for original mandamus or habeas corpus; and this class is obviously far larger than that of "Regular Causes." The reasons for this disparity -- whether the excellence of the Courts of Civil Appeals, the intransigence of litigants or something else-are not reached by current statistics.

(b) Original Mandamus:		
Writs granted	3	
Writs dismissed	2	
(c) Habeas Corpus:	_	
Relator remanded	9	
Relator discharged	2	
Cause dismissed for want of jurisdiction	2	
(d) Direct Appeal:	-	
Judgment of District Court affirmedJudgment of District Court affirmed on	1	
certificate	102	
Judgment of District Court reversed and cause	1	
remanded to District Court	-	
Judgment of District Court reversed and	1	
injunction dissolved	1	
Sub Total	101	
Pending under submission	26	
Pending set for submission	13	
Total		140
		7.10
Causes submitted in 1969	93	
II. CASES OTHER THAN REGULAR CAUSES		
APPLICATIONS FOR WRITS OF ERROR		
On Docket Within the Year		
Carried over from 1968	200	
Filed in 1969	53	
	678	
Total	731	731
Dispositions		
Granted	100	
Refused	100	
Refused. No reversible error	14	
Dismissed for want of jurisdiction	463 66	
Dismissed under Rule 483, Texas Rules of Civil	99	
Procedure	4	
Dismissed on joint motion of parties	1	
Dismissed on Order of court	3	
Sub Total		
Carried over to 1970	651	651
	80	80
Tota1	731	731
MANDAMUS		
On Docket Within the Year		
Filed in 1969		
	33	33

Dispositions

GrantedOverruled	Mad from State Anny State	5 27	
Su	b Total	32	32
Carried over to 1970		1	1
To	otal	33	33
HABEAS CORPUS			
On Docket Within the Year			
Filed in 1969		11	
Dispositions			
Granted		4 5	
Petition dismissed on motion of relator-		2	
	tal	11	11
III. MOTIONS			
FOR REHEARING OF REGULAR CAUS	SES		
On Docket Within the Year	•		
Carried over from 1968		7	
Filed in 1969		75	
To	otal	82	82
Dispositions			
Overruled		76	
GrantedSi	ib Total	$\frac{2}{78}$	78
Carried over to 1970		4	4
To	otal	82	82
FOR REHEARING OF APPLICATION FOR WRITS OF ERROR	IS		
On Docket Within the Year			
Carried over from 1968 Filed in 1969		19 245	
	otal	264	264

Dispositions

Granted	5	
Overruled	236	
Sub Total Carried over to 1970	241 23	241
Total		264
FOR REHEARING OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS		
On Docket Within the Year		
Filed in 1969	1	
Dispositions		
Overruled	1	1
MISCELLANEOUS MOTIONS		
Passed upon by Court in 1969	40	40
IV. OPINIONS DELIVERED IN 1969		
Deciding Causes	95	
On motions for rehearing of causes overruled	4	
Per Curiam on applications, motions, etc	10	
Concurring	5	
Dissenting	19	
Total	133	133
RECAPITULATION		
Cases determined:	1	
Regular causes	101	
Applications for writs of error	651	
Motions for leave to file petitions for writs of mandamus	32	
Petitions for writs of habeas corpus	11	
Total		795
Motions passed on:		
Rehearing of causes	78	
Rehearing of applications for writs of error	241	
Rehearing of motions for leave to file	1	
petitions for writs of mandamus Miscellaneous	40	
Total		360

Carried over to 1970:

Regular causes	26
Applications for writs of error	80
Motions for rehearing of causes——————— Motions for rehearing of applications for	4
writs of error	23
of mandamus	1
Tota1	134

OPINIONS WRITTEN BY JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT 1

(2
•	9
-	3
1	-
1	н

						0.000
	(8)	(b) (b) on	(c) Concurring	(d) Dissenting	(e) Per Curiam	(f) Total
	Original Opinions Deciding	Motions for Rehearing of Causes	Opinions	Opinions	on Applications, Motions, etc.	Per Justice
Justices	Causes	201111111111111111111111111111111111111		83		13
Robert W. Calvert, Chief Justice	11		,	-		13
Joe R. Greenhill, Associate Justice	10		N.	4 69		14
Robert W. Hamilton, Associate Justice	11			· •		00
2 Sears McGee, Associate Justice	9		-	-		16
Jack Pope, Associate Justice	12	н		· •		10
2 Thomas M. Reavley, Associate Justice	0 0	-		4 W		18
Clyde E. Smith, Associate Justice	10	Ħ	61	o •		14
Zollie Steakley, Associate Justice	11	1		a -		13
Ruel C. Walker, Associate Justice	12			4	10	10
Per Curiam, Rule 483 T.R.C.P.	4		.	=	10	133
Total	95	4	n	4		

The comments in the four footnotes on p. 49 (Courts of Civil Appeals) are largely applicable to all appellate courts.

2 Took office in 1969 or late 1968.

ACTION BY THE SUPREME COURT IN CASES FROM THE COURTS OF CIVIL APPEALS ON WRIT OF ERROR GRANTED 1969

COURT	COURT	C.C.A.	REV.	REV. IN	REV	OTHER	TOTAL
	OF	REV.					
AND	CIVIL	TRIAL	AND	AND	AND	RE-	FOR
	APPEALS	COURT		AFF. IN			
LOCATION	AFF.	AFF.	REM.		REN.	VERSALS	COURT
FIRST							
at	2	က			2		7
Houston					l.		•
SECOND							
at	1		П		8	cr.	7
Fort Worth					ı)	•
THIRD							
at	H	4					ıc
Austin							>
FOURTH							
at	H		1			-	cr.
San Antonio						1	,
FIFTH							
at						-	•
Dallas						4	4
SIXTH							
at		-		•	-	c	ď
Texarkana				ı	ĺ)	o
SEVENTH							
at	2	4		1	-	4	12
Amarillo						•	

							+
EIGHTH		•					Т
at		-					
El Paso							,
NINTH			,-		2	H	9
at	2		4				
Beaumont							
TENTH	!	c			1	Ħ	6
at	ည	7					
Waco							
ELEVENTH					Н	73	9
at	က						
Eastland							
TWELFTH		ď	-		-		9
at	2	N	4				
Tyler							
THIRTEENTH					1		က
at	7						
Corpus Christi							
FOURTEENTH		c		-	Н	4	6
at		n		ı			
Houston							
Total for	21	20	4	က	13	70	10
all Courts							